arXiv:1206.6744v1 [math.OA] 28 Jun 2012

MEASURED QUANTUM GROUPOIDS ASSOCIATED TO PROPER DYNAMICAL QUANTUM GROUPS

THOMAS TIMMERMANN

ABSTRACT. Dynamical quantum groups were introduced by Etingof and Varchenko in connection with the dynamical quantum Yang-Baxter equation, and measured quantum groupoids were introduced by Enock, Lesieur and Vallin in their study of inclusions of type II_1 factors. In this article, we associate to suitable dynamical quantum groups, which are a purely algebraic objects, Hopf C^*-bimodules and measured quantum groupoids on the level of von Neumann algebras. Assuming invariant integrals on the dynamical quantum group, we first construct a fundamental unitary which yields Hopf bimodules on the level of C^*-algebras and von Neumann algebras. Assuming properness of the dynamical quantum group, we then lift the integrals to the operator algebras. In a subsequent article, this construction shall be applied to the dynamical $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ studied by Koelink and Rosengren.

CONTENTS

Introduction 2
1. Dynamical quantum groups with integrals on the algebraic level 3
1.1. Preliminaries on non-unital algebras 4
1.2. The category of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras 4
1.3. Multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroids 6
1.4. Integrals 8
1.5. Quasi-invariant weights 10
1.6. The dual $*$-algebra 13
2. Construction of associated measured quantum groupoids 15
2.1. Preparations concerning the base 16
2.2. Various module structures 16
2.3. The fundamental unitary 18
2.4. Boundedness of the canonical representations 20
2.5. The Hopf-von Neumann bimodules 21
2.6. The Hopf C^*-bimodules 23
2.7. The measured quantum groupoid 25
References 30

Date: June 29, 2012.

INTRODUCTION

Dynamical quantum groups were introduced by Etingof and Varchenko as an algebraic framework for the study of the dynamical quantum Yang-Baxter equation [6, 7, 8], a variant of the Yang-Baxter equation arising in statistical mechanics. Every (rigid) solution of this equation has a naturally associated tensor category of representations which turns out to be equivalent to the category of representations of some dynamical quantum group. In the case of the basic rational or basic trigonometric solution, this dynamical quantum group can be regarded as a quantization of the function algebra on some Poisson-Lie-groupoid. In general, it can be regarded as a quantum groupoid and fits into the theory of Hopf algebroids developed by Böhm and others [1].

Measured quantum groupoids were introduced by Enock, Lesieur and Vallin [3, 14] to capture generalized Galois symmetries of certain inclusions of type II_1 factors [5, 4, 16]. Apart from this fundamental example in von Neumann algebra theory, which was also considered in the algebraic setting [9, 20], and from the finite case, only few measured quantum groupoids have been constructed and investigated yet [14, 29].

Up to now, connections between algebraic and operator-algebraic approaches to quantum groupoids have only been explored in the finite case [15, 18, 28] and in the form of a few examples and constructions that exist on both levels. The situation is very different in the area of quantum groups, where Woronowicz's theory of compact quantum groups [33] and van Daele's theory of multiplier Hopf algebras with integrals [13, 31] form a bridge between the algebraic and operator-algebraic approaches, combining the computational convenience of the former with the power and richness of the latter.

In this article, we associate to suitable dynamical quantum groups, which are purely algebraic objects, Hopf C^*-bimodules and measured quantum groupoids on the level of von Neumann algebras. The main example of a dynamical group we have in mind for application is the dynamical $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ studied by Koelink and Rosengren [10], and in a subsequent article, we want to study the construction for this example in detail.

On the dynamical quantum groups, we have to impose several assumptions.

First, we need a left- and a right-invariant integral, which correspond to fiber-wise integration on a groupoid, and a weight on the basis that is suitably quasi-invariant, such that the resulting total integrals are faithful, positive, and coincide. In the case of the dynamical $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, the left- and right-invariant integrals can be obtained from a Peter-Weyl decomposition due to Koelink and Rosengren [10], while the quasi-invariant weight on the basis can be chosen quite freely.

Second, we assume the dynamical quantum group to be proper and to possess a specific approximate unit in the base algebra, which is the natural analogue of compactness and unitality for quantum groupoids. The dynamical $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$ even is compact and thus satisfies this condition as well.

Third, we assume that the quasi-invariant weight on the basis admits a bounded GNS-construction. Like the first condition, this one is very natural. In the case of the dynamical $\mathrm{SU}_q(2)$, the base algebra is formed by all meromorphic functions on the plane and does not admit any non-trivial bounded representations. To apply our construction, one therefore will have to change the base and check that the Peter-Weyl decomposition persists.

Given these assumptions, the measured quantum groupoid is constructed as follows.

The algebraic GNS-construction, applied to the total integral on the dynamical quantum group, yields a Hilbert space of square-integrable functions on the dynamical quantum group together with a natural representation by multiplication operators. To obtain a C^*-algebra or von Neumann algebra, one has to show that these multiplication operators are bounded. To prove this and to lift the comultiplication to the resulting C^*-algebra and von Neumann algebra, we proceed as in the case of quantum groups [24] and construct a fundamental unitary which is pseudo-multiplicative on the level of von Neumann algebras and C^*-algebras in the sense of [27] and [25], respectively. The general theory of these unitaries then yields completions of the dynamical quantum group in the form a Hopf C^*-bimodule and a Hopf von-Neumann bimodule, and simultaneously a Pontrjagin dual in the same form. Finally, we extend the invariant integrals to the level of operator algebras, using properness of the dynamical quantum group and standard von Neumann algebra techniques.

This article is organized as follows.

Section 1 provides the algebraic basics on dynamical quantum groups and integration that are needed for the construction in Section 2. We first generalize the definition of a dynamical quantum group or $\mathfrak{h}$-Hopf algebroid, allowing the base to be non-unital, then consider left- and right-invariant integrals on the total algebra and quasi-invariant weights on the basis, and finally construct a $*$-algebra related to the Pontrjagin dual. The main result of this section is the existence of a modular automorphism for the total integral, which follows from a strong invariance property similarly as in the setting of multiplier Hopf algebras [31].

Section 2 presents the construction of the measured quantum groupoid outlined above. It uses Connes spatial theory, in particular the relative tensor product of Hilbert modules, and the C^*-algebraic analogue of that construction [23], and introduces the necessary concepts along the way when they are needed.

We use standard notation and adopt the following conventions.

All algebras will be over the ground field $\mathbb{C}$ and we do not assume the existence of a unit element. Given a vector space V with a subset $X \subseteq V$, we denote by $\langle X \rangle \subseteq V$ the linear span and, if V is normed, by $[X] \subseteq V$ the closed linear span of X. Inner products on Hilbert spaces will be linear in the second and anti-linear in the first variable.

1. DYNAMICAL QUANTUM GROUPS WITH INTEGRALS ON THE ALGEBRAIC LEVEL

This section summarizes and develops the basics on dynamical quantum groups and integration used in this article. Before turning to details, let us outline the main concepts.

A dynamical quantum group is a special quantum groupoid and as such consists of an algebra B called the basis, an algebra A, commuting inclusions $r,s\colon B^{(\mathrm{op})} \to A$, and a comultiplication, antipode and counit which are in some sense fibered over r and s. What makes it special is that the basis B is commutative, that $r(B)$ and $s(B)$ are central in A up to a twist which is controlled by an action of a group Γ on B and a bigrading of A by Γ, and that the target of the comultiplication is given by a nice monoidal product.

Integration on a quantum groupoid involves several ingredients. The analogue of the left- or right-invariance property of Haar measures on groups, Haar systems on groupoids, and Haar weights on quantum groups can be formulated for maps $A \to B$ that are linear with respect to $r(B)$ or $s(B)$, respectively. To obtain a total integration $A \to \mathbb{C}$, such a partial integral $A \to B$ has to be composed with a suitable functional $B \to \mathbb{C}$ that has to be compatible with the action of Γ.

Let us now turn to details. We proceed as follows.

From the beginning, we assume all our algebras to possess an involution but not necessarily a unit. We first recall terminology concerning non-unital algebras (§1.1), then describe the monoidal category where product $A\tilde{\otimes}A$ is taken in (§1.2), and define dynamical quantum groups or, more precisely, multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroids (§1.3). Afterwards, we introduce and study integrals (§1.4) and prove the existence of a modular automorphism that controls the deviation of the total integral from being a trace (§1.5). Using integration, we finally construct the dual $*$-algebra of a multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid (§1.6).

1.1. **Preliminaries on non-unital algebras.** To handle non-unital algebras, we use extra non-degeneracy assumptions and multiplier algebras [30, appendix] which are recalled below.

Let R be an algebra, not necessarily unital. Given a left R-module M, we say that R *has local units for* M if for each finite subset $F \subseteq M$, there exists some $r \in R$ such that $rm = m$ for all $m \in F$ [32]. The corresponding notion for right R-modules is defined similarly. We say that R *has local units* if it has local units for R, regarded as a left and as a right R-module.

Let R and S be algebras with local units, let N be an R-S-bimodule and assume that R and S have local units for N. A *multiplier* of N is a pair $T = (T_R, T_S)$, where $T_R\colon R \to N$ is a left R-module map and $T_S\colon S \to N$ a right S-module map satisfying $T_R(r)s = rT_S(s)$ for all $r \in R, s \in S$. Given such a multiplier, we write $rT := T_R(r)$ and $Ts := T_S(s)$ for all $r \in R$, $s \in S$. We denote the set of all multipliers of N by $M(N)$. Clearly, N embeds into $M(N)$ and $M(N)$ carries a natural structure of an R-S-bimodule that is compatible with this embedding.

Regarding R as an R-R-bimodule, $M(R)$ becomes an algebra via $r(TT') := (rT)T'$ and $(TT')r := T(T'r)$, and R embeds into $M(R)$ as an essential ideal. If R is a $*$-algebra, then so is $M(R)$, where $rT^*r' = (r'^*Tr^*)^*$ for all $r,r' \in R, T \in M(R)$.

The bimodule N becomes an $M(R)$-$M(S)$-bimodule via $r'(rns)s' := (r'r)n(ss')$ for all $r' \in M(R), r \in R, n \in N, s \in S, s' \in M(S)$, and $M(N)$ is an $M(R)$-$M(S)$-bimodule via $r(r'Ts') := ((rr')T)s'$ and $(r'Ts')s = r'(T(s's))$ for all $r \in R, r' \in M(R), T \in M(N), s \in S, s' \in M(S)$.

A homomorphism $\pi\colon R \to M(S)$ is *non-degenerate* if $\langle \pi(R)S\rangle = S = \langle S\pi(R)\rangle$; in that case, it extends uniquely to a homomorphism $M(R) \to M(S)$ which is again denoted by π (see [30]).

1.2. **The category of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras.** Let B be a commutative $*$-algebra with local units, let Γ be a group that acts on B on the left, and let $e \in \Gamma$ be the unit.

A (B,Γ)-*module* is a Γ-graded B-bimodule $V = \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} V_\gamma$ for which B has local units, where each V_γ is a B-bimodule and $vb = \gamma(b)v$ for all $v \in V_\gamma, b \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma$. A *morphism* of (B,Γ)-modules V and W is a morphism of Γ-graded B-bimodules.

A (B,Γ)-*algebra* is a Γ-graded $*$-algebra $A = \bigoplus_{\gamma\in\Gamma} A_\gamma$ which has local units in A_e and is equipped with a $*$-homomorphism $B \to M(A)$ that turns A into a (B,Γ)-module. Such a (B,Γ)-algebra is *proper* if B maps into A.

Given a (B,Γ)-algebra A and $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we denote by $M(A)_\gamma \subseteq M(A)$ the space of all multipliers $T \in M(A)$ satisfying $TA_{\gamma'} \subseteq A_{\gamma\gamma'}$ and $A_{\gamma'}T \subseteq A_{\gamma'\gamma}$ for all $\gamma' \in \Gamma$.

A *morphism* of (B,Γ)-algebras A and C is a non-degenerate, B-linear $*$-homomorphism $\pi\colon A \to M(C)$ satisfying $\pi(A_\gamma) \subseteq M(C)_\gamma$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Such a morphism is *proper* if it maps A into C.

Using the extension of non-degenerate homomorphisms to multipliers, one defines the composition of morphisms and checks that (B,Γ)-algebras form a category.

The tensor product $B \otimes B$ is a $*$-algebra with local units and a natural action of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$. Replacing (B,Γ) by $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}} := (B \otimes B, \Gamma \times \Gamma)$ in the definition above, we obtain the category of all $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-*algebras*.

Let A be a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra. We call an element $x \in A$ *homogeneous* and write $\partial_x = \gamma$, $\bar{\partial}_x = \gamma'$ if $x \in A_{\gamma,\gamma'}$ for some $\gamma,\gamma' \in \Gamma$. Thus, $\partial_x\partial_y = \partial_{xy}$, $\bar{\partial}_x\bar{\partial}_y = \bar{\partial}_{xy}$ and $\partial_{x^*} = \partial_x^{-1}$, $\bar{\partial}_{x^*} = \bar{\partial}_x^{-1}$ for all homogeneous $x, y \in A$. Define $r = r_A \colon B \to M(A)$ and $s = s_A \colon B \to M(A)$ by $r(b)a = (b \otimes 1)a$ and $s(b)a = (1 \otimes b)a$ for all $a \in A$, $b \in B$. We write ${}_rA, A_r, {}_sA, A_s$ if we consider A as a B-module via left or right multiplication via r or s, respectively.

Clearly, B is a (B,Γ)-algebra and $B \otimes B$ is a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra with respect to the trivial gradings. Every (B,Γ)-algebra A can be regarded as a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra, where $A_{(\gamma,\gamma)} = A_\gamma$ and $A_{(\gamma,\gamma')} = 0$ whenever $\gamma \neq \gamma'$, and $(b \otimes b')a = bb'a$ for all $b, b' \in B$, $a \in A$. Conversely, every $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra A can be considered as a (B,Γ)-algebra via $r \colon B \to M(A)$ and the grading given by $A_\gamma := \bigoplus_{\gamma'} A_{\gamma,\gamma'}$, or via $s \colon B \to M(A)$ and the grading given by $A_{\gamma'} := \bigoplus_\gamma A_{\gamma,\gamma'}$. We write (A,r) and (A,s), respectively, to denote the resulting (B,Γ)-algebras.

Denote by $B \rtimes \Gamma$ the crossed product for the action of Γ on B, that is, the universal algebra containing B and Γ such that $e = 1_B$ and $b\gamma \cdot b'\gamma' = b\gamma(b')\gamma\gamma'$ for all $b, b' \in B$, $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$. This is a (B,Γ)-algebra with respect to the natural inclusion $B \to B \rtimes \Gamma$ and the involution and grading given by $(b\gamma)^* = \gamma^{-1}b^*$ and $(B \rtimes \Gamma)_\gamma = B\gamma$ for all $b \in B$, $\gamma \in \Gamma$.

The *fiber product* of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras A and C is defined as follows. The subalgebra

$$A \overset{\Gamma}{\otimes} C := \sum_{\gamma,\gamma',\gamma'' \in \Gamma} A_{\gamma,\gamma'} \otimes C_{\gamma',\gamma''} \subset A \otimes C$$

is a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra, where $\partial_{a \otimes c} = \partial_a$, $\bar{\partial}_{a \otimes c} = \bar{\partial}_c$ for all $a \in A$, $c \in C$ and $(r \times s)(b \otimes b') = r_A(b) \otimes s_C(b')$ for all $b, b' \in B$. Let $I \subseteq M(A \overset{\Gamma}{\otimes} C)$ be the ideal generated by $\{s_A(b) \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes r_C(b) : b \in B\}$. Then the quotient

$$A \tilde{\otimes} C := A \overset{\Gamma}{\otimes} C / (I(A \overset{\Gamma}{\otimes} C))$$

is a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra again, called the fiber product of A and C. Write $a \tilde{\otimes} c$ for the image of an element $a \otimes c$ in $A \tilde{\otimes} C$.

The assignment $(A,C) \mapsto A \tilde{\otimes} C$ is functorial, associative and unital. Indeed, for all morphisms of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras $\pi^1 \colon A^1 \to C^1$, $\pi^2 \colon A^2 \to C^2$, there exists a morphism

$$\pi^1 \tilde{\otimes} \pi^2 \colon A^1 \tilde{\otimes} A^2 \to C^1 \tilde{\otimes} C^2, \quad a_1 \tilde{\otimes} a_2 \mapsto \pi^1(a_1) \tilde{\otimes} \pi^2(a_2); \tag{1}$$

for all $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras A, C, D, there exists an isomorphism

$$(A \tilde{\otimes} C) \tilde{\otimes} D \to A \tilde{\otimes} (C \tilde{\otimes} D), \quad (a \tilde{\otimes} c) \tilde{\otimes} d \mapsto a \tilde{\otimes} (c \tilde{\otimes} d), \tag{2}$$

and for each $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra A, there exist isomorphisms

$$(B \rtimes \Gamma) \tilde{\otimes} A \to A, \; b\gamma \tilde{\otimes} a \mapsto r(b)a, \qquad A \tilde{\otimes} (B \rtimes \Gamma) \to A, \; a \tilde{\otimes} b\gamma \mapsto s(b)a. \tag{3}$$

These isomorphisms are compatible in a natural sense and endow the category of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras with a monoidal structure. From now on, we shall use them without further notice.

The category of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras carries automorphisms $(-)^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $(-)^{\mathrm{co}}$ such that for each (B,Γ)-algebra A and each morphism $\phi \colon A \to C$, we have $A^{\mathrm{co}} = A$ as an algebra, A^{op} is the opposite $*$-algebra of A, that is, the same vector space with the same involution and reversed multiplication, and

$$(A^{\mathrm{op}})_{\gamma,\gamma'} = A_{\gamma^{-1},\gamma'^{-1}} \text{ for all } \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma, \qquad r_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} = r_A, \qquad s_{A^{\mathrm{op}}} = s_A, \qquad \phi^{\mathrm{op}} = \phi, \tag{4}$$

$$(A^{\mathrm{co}})_{\gamma,\gamma'} = A_{\gamma',\gamma} \text{ for all } \gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma, \qquad r_{A^{\mathrm{co}}} = s_A, \qquad s_{A^{\mathrm{co}}} = r_A, \qquad \phi^{\mathrm{co}} = \phi. \tag{5}$$

These automorphisms are involutive and commute, that is,

$$(-)^{\mathrm{op}} \circ (-)^{\mathrm{op}} = \mathrm{id}, \qquad (-)^{\mathrm{co}} \circ (-)^{\mathrm{co}} = \mathrm{id}, \qquad (-)^{\mathrm{op}} \circ (-)^{\mathrm{co}} = (-)^{\mathrm{co}} \circ (-)^{\mathrm{op}}.$$

Furthermore, they are compatible with the monoidal structure as follows. Given (B,Γ)-algebras A, C, there exist isomorphisms $(A \tilde{\otimes} C)^{\mathrm{op}} \to A^{\mathrm{op}} \tilde{\otimes} C^{\mathrm{op}}$ and $(A \tilde{\otimes} C)^{\mathrm{co}} \to C^{\mathrm{co}} \tilde{\otimes} A^{\mathrm{co}}$ given by $a \tilde{\otimes} c \mapsto a \tilde{\otimes} c$ and $a \tilde{\otimes} c \mapsto c \tilde{\otimes} a$, respectively. Moreover, $(B \rtimes \Gamma)^{\mathrm{co}} = B \rtimes \Gamma$, there exists an isomorphism $S^{B \rtimes \Gamma} \colon B \rtimes \Gamma \to (B \rtimes \Gamma)^{\mathrm{op}}$, $b\gamma \mapsto \gamma^{-1} b$, and all of these isomorphisms and the isomorphisms in (2) and (3) are compatible in a natural sense.

1.3. **Multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroids.** We shall work with variants of the $\mathfrak{h}$-Hopf algebroids and (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroids considered in [7, 10] and [22], respectively, where the basis need no longer be unital. These variants consist of a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra and a comultiplication, counit and antipode, which will be introduced one after the other. To quickly proceed to the main part of this article, we postulate all the usual properties of these maps as axioms and leave a study of the axiomatics for later.

Given a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra A, we denote by $\tilde{M}(A \tilde{\otimes} A) \subseteq M(A \tilde{\otimes} A)$ the set of all $T \in M(A \tilde{\otimes} A)$ for which all products of the form

$$T(x \tilde{\otimes} 1_{M(A)}), \qquad (x \tilde{\otimes} 1_{M(A)})T, \qquad T(1_{M(A)} \tilde{\otimes} y), \qquad (1_{M(A)} \tilde{\otimes} y)T$$

where $x \in A_{\gamma,e}, y \in A_{e,\gamma}, \gamma \in \Gamma$, lie in $A \tilde{\otimes} A$. Evidently, $\tilde{M}(A \tilde{\otimes} A)$ is a $*$-subalgebra of $M(A \tilde{\otimes} A)$.

1.3.1. **Definition.** *A* comultiplication *on a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra A is a morphism Δ from A to $A \tilde{\otimes} A$ satisfying $\Delta(A) \subseteq \tilde{M}(A \tilde{\otimes} A)$ and $(\Delta \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \Delta) \circ \Delta$. A* (proper) multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid *is a (proper) $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra with a comultiplication. A* morphism *of multiplier* (B,Γ)-$*$-*bialgebroids (A,Δ_A), (B,Δ_B) is a morphism ϕ from A to B satisfying $\Delta_B \circ \phi = (\phi \tilde{\otimes} \phi) \circ \Delta_A$.*

Let (A,Δ) be a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid. We adopt the Sweedler notation and write

$$\Delta(a) = \sum a_{(1)} \tilde{\otimes} a_{(2)}, \qquad (\Delta \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\Delta(a)) = \sum a_{(1)} \tilde{\otimes} a_{(2)} \tilde{\otimes} a_{(3)} = (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \Delta)(\Delta(a))$$

and so on for each $a \in A$. In general, $a_{(1)}$ and $a_{(2)}$ do not stand for elements of A because $\Delta(a)$ need not lie in $A \tilde{\otimes} A$, but only in $\tilde{M}(A \tilde{\otimes} A)$. Therefore, this notation requires extra care; see [30, 32] for a detailed explanation in the context of multiplier Hopf algebras.

We shall need to form products of the form $\Delta(x)(1 \otimes y)$ or $(y \otimes 1)\Delta(x)$ when $\partial_y \neq e$ or $\overline{\partial}_y \neq e$, respectively, which are defined as follows. The multiplication on $A \otimes A$ induces a canonical $A \tilde{\otimes} A$-$A \otimes A$-bimodule structure on ${}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA$, and a canonical $A \otimes A$-$A \tilde{\otimes} A$-bimodule structure on $A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} A_r$. We thus obtain natural maps ${}_sM(A) \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rM(A) \to M({}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA)$ and $M(A)_s \underset{B}{\otimes} M(A)_r \to M(A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} A_r)$ and define

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &\colon A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA \to {}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA, \quad x \underset{B}{\otimes} y \mapsto \Delta(x)(1 \underset{B}{\otimes} y) = \sum x_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} x_{(2)} y, \\ T_2 &\colon A_r \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \to A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} A_r, \quad x \underset{B}{\otimes} y \mapsto (x \underset{B}{\otimes} 1)\Delta(y) = \sum x y_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} y_{(2)}. \end{aligned}$$

1.3.2. **Definition.** *A* counit *for a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid (A,Δ) is a proper morphism of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebras $\varepsilon \colon A \to B \rtimes \Gamma$ satisfying $(\varepsilon \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id}_A = (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \varepsilon) \circ \Delta$.*

Let (A,Δ) be a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid with counit ε. Using the linear maps

$$\sharp \colon B \rtimes \Gamma \to B, \ \sum_{\gamma} b_\gamma \gamma \mapsto \sum_{\gamma} b_\gamma, \qquad \flat \colon B \rtimes \Gamma \to B, \ \sum_{\gamma} \gamma b_\gamma \mapsto \sum_{\gamma} b_\gamma,$$

we define $\varepsilon^\sharp, \varepsilon^\flat \colon A \to B$ by $\varepsilon^\sharp := \sharp \circ \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon^\flat := \flat \circ \varepsilon$. Define $m_r \colon A_r \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \to A$ and $m_s \colon A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA \to A$ by $\sum_i x_i \underset{B}{\otimes} y_i \mapsto \sum_i x_i y_i$.

1.3.3. **Remarks.** i) Clearly, $\varepsilon(A_{\gamma,\gamma'}) \subseteq (B \rtimes \Gamma)_{\gamma,\gamma'} = 0$ whenever $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$ and $\gamma \neq \gamma'$.

ii) If ε' is a counit as well, then $\varepsilon = \varepsilon \circ (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \varepsilon') \circ \Delta = \varepsilon' \circ (\varepsilon \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \varepsilon'$.

iii) The condition $(\varepsilon \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = \mathrm{id}_A = (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \varepsilon) \circ \Delta$ is equivalent to the relations

$$\sum r(\varepsilon^\sharp(x_{(1)}))x_{(2)}y = xy = \sum xy_{(1)}s(\varepsilon^\flat(y_{(2)})) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A,$$

and hence to commutativity of the diagrams

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& {}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \arrow[dr, "\varepsilon^\sharp \underset{B}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}"] & \\
A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA \arrow[ur, "T_1"] \arrow[rr, "m_s"'] & & A,
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
& A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} A_r \arrow[dr, "\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \varepsilon^\flat"] & \\
A_r \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \arrow[ur, "T_2"] \arrow[rr, "m_r"'] & & A.
\end{tikzcd}$$

Furthermore, this condition is equivalent to the relations

$$\sum xy_{(2)}r(\varepsilon^\flat(y_{(1)})) = xy = \sum s(\varepsilon^\sharp(x_{(2)}))x_{(1)}y \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A.$$

The definition of the antipode involves the isomorphism

$$\sigma_{A,A} \colon (A \tilde{\otimes} A)^{\mathrm{co,op}} \to A^{\mathrm{co,op}} \tilde{\otimes} A^{\mathrm{co,op}}, \quad x \tilde{\otimes} y \mapsto y \tilde{\otimes} x.$$

1.3.4. **Definition.** *An* antipode *for a multiplier* (B,Γ)-$*$-*bialgebroid* (A,Δ) *with counit* ε *is an isomorphism* $S \colon A \to A^{\mathrm{co,op}}$ *of* $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$*-algebras that makes the following diagrams commute:*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA \arrow[r, "T_1"] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon^\flat \underset{B}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}"'] & {}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \arrow[d, "S \underset{B}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}"] \\
A & A_r \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \arrow[l, "m_r"]
\end{tikzcd}
,\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
A_r \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \arrow[r, "T_2"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \varepsilon^\sharp"'] & A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} A_r \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} S"] \\
A & A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA \arrow[l, "m_s"]
\end{tikzcd}
,$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \arrow[rr, "S"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & & A^{\mathrm{co,op}} \arrow[d, "\Delta^{\mathrm{co,op}}"] \\
A \tilde{\otimes} A \arrow[r, "S \tilde{\otimes} S"] & A^{\mathrm{co,op}} \tilde{\otimes} A^{\mathrm{co,op}} & (A \tilde{\otimes} A)^{\mathrm{co,op}}. \arrow[l, "\sigma_{A,A}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

A multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid *is a multiplier* (B,Γ)-$*$-*bialgebroid with counit and antipode.*

1.3.5. **Examples.** i) The tensor product $B \otimes B$ is a multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid, where $\Delta(b \otimes b') = (b \otimes 1) \tilde{\otimes} (1 \otimes b')$, $\varepsilon(b \otimes b') = bb'$, $S(b \otimes b') = b' \otimes b$ for all $b, b' \in B$.

ii) The crossed product $B \rtimes \Gamma$ is a multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid, where $\Delta(b\gamma) = b\gamma \tilde{\otimes} \gamma = \gamma \tilde{\otimes} b\gamma$, $\varepsilon = \mathrm{id}$ and $S(\gamma b) = b\gamma^{-1}$ for all $b \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma$.

Given an antipode S on a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid (A,Δ) and an element $a \in A$, we shall henceforth always regard $S(a)$ as an element of A and not of $A^{\mathrm{co,op}}$.

1.3.6. **Remarks.** Let $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$ be a multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid.

i) In Sweedler notation, commutativity of the diagrams in Definition 1.3.4 amounts to

$$\sum S(x_{(1)})x_{(2)}y = s(\varepsilon^\flat(x))y, \quad \sum xy_{(1)}S(y_{(2)}) = xr(\varepsilon^\sharp(y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in A, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum S(x_{(1)}) \tilde{\otimes} S(x_{(2)}) = \sum S(x)_{(2)} \tilde{\otimes} S(x)_{(1)} \quad \text{for all } x \in A. \tag{7}$$

ii) If S' is an antipode as well, then $S' = S$ because for all $x,y,z \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} xS(y)z = S(yS^{-1}(x))z &= \sum S(s(\varepsilon^\sharp(y_{(2)}))y_{(1)}S^{-1}(x))z \\ &= \sum S(y_{(2)}S^{-1}(x))r(\varepsilon^\sharp(y_{(2)}))z \\ &= \sum S(y_{(1)}S^{-1}(x))y_{(2)}S'(S'^{-1}(z)y_{(3)}) = xS'(y)z. \end{aligned}$$

For every multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid, the maps T_1 and T_2 defined above are bijections.

1.3.7. **Proposition.** *Let (A,Δ) be a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid. If (A,Δ) has a counit ε and an antipode S, then the maps T_1, T_2 are bijective and for all $x,y \in A$,*

$$T_1^{-1}(x \underset{B}{\otimes} y) = \sum x_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} S(S^{-1}(y)x_{(2)}), \qquad T_2^{-1}(x \underset{B}{\otimes} y) = \sum S(y_{(1)}S^{-1}(x)) \underset{B}{\otimes} y_{(2)}.$$

Proof. We only prove the assertion concerning T_1. One first checks that the formula given for T_1^{-1} yields a well-defined map $T_1' \colon {}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA \to A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA$, and then that for all $x,y \in A$ and $u,v \in A_{e,e}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (u \otimes v) \cdot (T_1 \circ T_1')(x \underset{B}{\otimes} y) &= \sum ux_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} vx_{(2)}S(S^{-1}(y)x_{(3)}) \\ &= \sum ux_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} vx_{(2)}S(x_{(3)})y \\ &= \sum ux_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} vr(\varepsilon^\sharp(x_{(2)}))y = \sum us(\varepsilon^\sharp(x_{(2)}))x_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} vy = ux \underset{B}{\otimes} vy, \\ (u \otimes v) \cdot (T_1' \circ T_1)(x \underset{B}{\otimes} y) &= \sum ux_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} vS(S^{-1}(x_{(3)}y)x_{(2)}) \\ &= \sum ux_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} vS(x_{(2)})x_{(3)}y \\ &= \sum ux_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} vs(\varepsilon^\flat(x_{(2)}))y = \sum ux_{(1)}s(\varepsilon^\flat(x_{(2)})) \underset{B}{\otimes} vy = ux \underset{B}{\otimes} vy. \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

As in the case of multiplier bialgebras or Hopf algebroids, this result should have a converse.

1.4. **Integrals.**

The definition of integrals involves slice maps of the following form. Let (A,Δ) be a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra and let $\phi \colon (A,r) \to B$ be a morphism of (B,Γ)-modules. Then there exists a unique linear map $\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi \colon \tilde{M}(A \tilde{\otimes} A) \to M(A)$ such that

$$((\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi)(T))a = (\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \phi)(T(a \otimes 1)), \qquad a((\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi)(T)) = (\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \phi)((a \otimes 1)T)$$

for all $T \in \tilde{M}(A \tilde{\otimes} A)$ and $a \in A$, where we regard $T(a \otimes 1)$ and $(a \otimes 1)T$ as elements of ${}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA$ and $A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} A_r$, respectively. In the case $T = \Delta(x)$ for some $x \in A$,

$$(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi)(\Delta(x))a = \sum s(\phi(x_{(2)}))x_{(1)}a, \qquad a(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi)(\Delta(x)) = \sum ax_{(1)}s(\phi(x_{(2)})). \tag{8}$$

Likewise, every morphism $\psi \colon (A,s) \to B$ of (B,Γ)-modules yields a slice map $\psi \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id} \colon \tilde{M}(A \tilde{\otimes} A) \to M(A)$.

1.4.1. **Definition.** *Let (A,Δ) be a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid.*

A morphism of (B,Γ)-modules $\phi \colon (A,r) \to B$ is left-invariant *or a* left integral *on (A,Δ) if $(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi) \circ \Delta = r \circ \phi$. A morphism of (B,Γ)-modules $\psi \colon (A,s) \to B$ is* right-invariant *or a* right integral *on (A,Δ) if $(\psi \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta = s \circ \psi$.*

A morphism of $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-modules $h \colon A \to B \otimes B$ is bi-invariant *or a* bi-integral *on (A,Δ) if $I := \ker h$ satisfies $\Delta(I)(1 \tilde{\otimes} A_{e,e}) \subseteq I \tilde{\otimes} A$ and $\Delta(I)(A_{e,e} \tilde{\otimes} 1) \subseteq A \tilde{\otimes} I$. Such a bi-integral is* normalized *if (A,Δ) is proper and $h(r(b)s(b')) = b \otimes b'$ for all $b,b' \in B$.*

1.4.2. **Remarks.** i) In Sweedler notation, the invariance conditions on ϕ and ψ become

$$\sum s(\phi(x_{(2)}))x_{(1)}a = r(\phi(x))a, \qquad \sum ax_{(2)}r(\psi(x_{(1)})) = as(\psi(x)) \quad \text{for all } a,x \in A.$$

ii) If (A,Δ,ε,S) is a (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid, then the map $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ S$ gives a bijection between left and right integrals on (A,Δ). This follows easily from (7).

Every normalized bi-integral on a proper multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid yields left and right integrals and is a Haar functional in the sense of [10]:

1.4.3. **Proposition.** *Let (A,Δ) be a proper multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid with a normalized bi-integral h.*

i) $\phi := (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu) \circ h$ *is a left and* $\psi := (\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ h$ *is a right integral for each linear* $\mu \colon B \to \mathbb{C}$*;*
ii) $(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} m_B \circ h) \circ \Delta = h = (m_B \circ h \tilde{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) \circ \Delta$*, where* $m_B \colon B \otimes B \to B$ *denotes the multiplication.*

Proof. We only prove the assertion concerning ϕ and the first equation in ii).

Let $\omega \colon (A,r) \to B$ be a morphism of (B,Γ)-modules sending $I := \ker h$ to 0. Then

$$(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \omega)(\Delta(I))A_{e,e} = (\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \omega)(\Delta(I)(A_{e,e} \tilde{\otimes} 1)) \subseteq (\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \omega)(A \underset{B}{\otimes} I) = 0$$

and hence $(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \omega)(\Delta(I)) = 0$. Moreover, if $b,b',b'' \in B$ and $u \in A_{e,e}$, then

$$(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \omega)(\Delta(r(b)s(b')))s(b'')u = (\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \omega)(r(b)s(b'')u \underset{B}{\otimes} s(b')) = r(b)s(\omega(s(b')r(b'')))u.$$

For ω equal to $\phi := (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu) \circ h$ or $\omega = m_B \circ h$, these calculations imply for all $a \in I$ and $b,b' \in B$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi)(\Delta(a)) &= 0 = r(\phi(a)), & (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi)(\Delta(r(b)s(b'))) &= r(b\mu(b')) = \phi(r(b)s(b')), \\ (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} m_B \circ h)(\Delta(a)) &= 0 = h(a), & (\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} m_B \circ h)(\Delta(r(b)s(b'))) &= r(b)s(b') = h(r(b)s(b')). \end{aligned}$$

Since $A = I + r(B)s(B)$, we can conclude $(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} \phi) \circ \Delta = r \circ \phi$ and $(\mathrm{id} \tilde{\otimes} m_B \circ h) \circ \Delta = h$. ☐

Integrals on multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroids satisfy the following strong invariance condition:

1.4.4. **Proposition.** *Let (A,Δ,ε,S) be a (B,Γ)-Hopf algebroid. Then*

i) $(\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \phi)((1 \tilde{\otimes} z)\Delta(x)) = S((\mathrm{id} \underset{B}{\otimes} \phi)(\Delta(z)(1 \tilde{\otimes} x)))$ *for every left integral* ϕ *and all* $x,z \in A$*;*
ii) $(\psi \underset{B}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\Delta(x)(z \tilde{\otimes} 1)) = S((\psi \underset{B}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})((x \tilde{\otimes} 1)\Delta(z))$ *for every right integral* ψ *and all* $x,z \in A$.

Proof. Using Sweedler notation, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \sum x_{(1)}s(\phi(zx_{(2)})) &= \sum x_{(1)}s(\phi(z_{(2)}r(\varepsilon^{\flat}(z_{(1)}))x_{(2)})) \\ &= \sum s(\varepsilon^{\flat}(z_{(1)}))x_{(1)}s(\phi(z_{(2)}x_{(2)})) \\ &= \sum S(z_{(1)})z_{(2)}x_{(1)}s(\phi(z_{(3)}x_{(2)})) = \sum S(z_{(1)})r(\phi(z_{(2)}x)), \\ \sum r(\psi(x_{(1)}z)x_{(2)} &= \sum r(\psi(x_{(1)}s(\varepsilon^{\sharp}(z_{(2)}))z_{(1)}))x_{(2)} \\ &= \sum r(\psi(x_{(1)}z_{(1)}))x_{(2)}r(\varepsilon^{\sharp}(z_{(2)})) \\ &= \sum r(\psi(x_{(1)}z_{(1)})x_{(2)}z_{(2)}S(z_{(3)}) = s(\psi(xz_{(1)}))S(z_{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

☐

1.5. **Quasi-invariant weights.** To obtain a total integral $A \to \mathbb{C}$ for a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid (A,Δ), we need to compose left or right integrals with suitable maps $B \to \mathbb{C}$ as described below.

We briefly recall some terminology. Let C be a $*$-algebra with local units. A linear map is $\mu\colon C \to \mathbb{C}$ is *faithful* if $\mu(Cc) = 0$ implies $c = 0$, and *positive* if $\mu(c^*c) \geq 0$ for all $c \in C$. Assume that μ is positive. Then μ is $*$-linear, because positivity of $\phi((b+c)^*(b+c))$ and $\phi((b+ic)^*(b+ic))$ implies $\mu(b^*c) = \overline{\phi(c^*b)}$ for all $b,c \in C$, and faithful as soon as $\mu(c^*c) \neq 0$ whenever $c \neq 0$.

1.5.1. **Definition.** *A linear map $\mu\colon B \to \mathbb{C}$ is* quasi-invariant with respect to Γ *if for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$, there exists some $D_\gamma \in M(B)$ such that $\mu(\gamma(bD_\gamma)) = \mu(b)$ for all $b \in B$.*

Let $\mu\colon B \to \mathbb{C}$ be faithful, positive, and quasi-invariant with respect to Γ.

1.5.2. **Lemma.** *Each D_γ is uniquely determined and self-adjoint, and $D_{\gamma\gamma'} = \gamma'^{-1}(D_\gamma)D_{\gamma'}$ and $1 = \gamma^{-1}(D_{\gamma^{-1}})D_\gamma$ for all $\gamma,\gamma' \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. These assertions follow from the fact that μ is faithful and the relations $\mu(\gamma(bD^*_\gamma)) = \overline{\mu(\gamma(D_\gamma b^*))} = \overline{\mu(b^*)} = \mu(b)$ and $\mu(\gamma(\gamma'(bD_{\gamma\gamma'}))) = \mu(b) = \mu(\gamma'(bD_{\gamma'})) = \mu(\gamma(\gamma'(bD_{\gamma'})D_\gamma))$. ☐

For later use, we note the relation

$$\mu(\gamma^{-1}(b)c) = \mu(b\gamma(c)D^{-1}_{\gamma^{-1}}))) = \mu(b\gamma(cD_\gamma)) \quad \text{for all } b,c \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{9}$$

Let (A,Δ) be a multiplier (B,Γ)-$*$-bialgebroid. Define $D,\bar{D}\colon A \to A$ by

$$D(a) = r(D_{\partial_a^{-1}})a = ar(D^{-1}_{\partial_a}), \qquad \bar{D}(a) = s(D_{\bar\partial_a^{-1}})a = as(D^{-1}_{\bar\partial_a}) \qquad \text{for all } a \in A. \tag{10}$$

1.5.3. **Lemma.** *D and $\bar{D}$ both are algebra and $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-module automorphisms of A, and satisfy*

$$(D\tilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta = \Delta\circ D, \quad (\mathrm{id}\tilde{\otimes}\bar{D})\circ\Delta = \Delta\circ\bar{D}, \quad (\bar{D}\tilde{\otimes}\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta = (\mathrm{id}\tilde{\otimes}D)\circ\Delta,$$
$$D\circ\bar{D} = \bar{D}\circ D, \quad S\circ D = \bar{D}^{-1}\circ S, \quad S\circ\bar{D} = D^{-1}\circ S, \quad *\circ D = D^{-1}\circ *, \quad *\circ\bar{D} = \bar{D}^{-1}\circ *.$$

Proof. The maps D and $\bar{D}$ are bijective because D_γ is invertible for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The remaining assertions follow from straightforward calculations, for example,

$$D(xy) = r(D_{\partial_{xy}^{-1}})xy = r(D_{\partial_x^{-1}}\partial_x(D_{\partial_y^{-1}}))xy = r(D_{\partial_x^{-1}})xr(D_{\partial_y^{-1}})y = D(x)D(y),$$
$$S(D(x)) = S(r(D^{-1}_{\partial_x})x) = S(x)s(D_{\bar\partial_{S(x)}}) = \bar{D}^{-1}(S(x)),$$
$$D(x)^* = x^*r(D^*_{\partial_x^{-1}}) = x^*r(D_{\partial_{x^*}}) = D^{-1}(x^*) \quad \text{for all } x,y \in A.$$

☐

1.5.4. **Definition.** *We call a multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid (A,Δ,ε,S)* measured *if it is equipped with a left integral ϕ, a right integral ψ, and a linear map $\mu\colon B \to \mathbb{C}$ such that*

i) *μ is faithful, positive, and quasi-invariant with respect to Γ;*
ii) *$\nu := \mu\circ\phi$ and $\nu^{-1} := \mu\circ\psi$ are faithful, positive, and coincide;*
iii) *$\psi(A) = B = \phi(A)$.*

1.5.5. **Remarks.** i) Given a measured multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid as above, the maps ϕ and ψ are $*$-linear. This follows from the fact that $\mu(\phi(a^*)b) = \nu(a^*r(b)) = \overline{\nu(r(b^*)a)} = \overline{\mu(b^*\phi(a))} = \mu(\phi(a)^*b)$ for all $a \in A, b \in B$ and faithfulness of μ, and a similar argument for ψ.

ii) One could probably drop the assumption $\nu = \nu^{-1}$ and assume the existence of an invertible multiplier δ such that $\nu^{-1}(a) = \nu(a\delta)$ for all $a \in A$. In our applications, however, the stricter assumption above is satisfied.

Let $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S, \phi, \psi, \mu)$ be a measured multiplier (B, Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid.

1.5.6. **Lemma.** *Let* $\omega \in \{\phi, \psi, \nu\}$.

i) $\omega(A_{\gamma,\gamma'}) = 0$ *whenever* $(\gamma, \gamma') \neq (e, e)$.
ii) $\omega(r(b)s(b')a) = \omega(ar(b)s(b'))$ *for all* $a \in A$, $b, b' \in B$.
iii) $\omega(D(a)a') = \omega(aD^{-1}(a'))$ *and* $\omega(\bar{D}(a)a') = \omega(a\bar{D}^{-1}(a'))$ *for all* $a, a' \in A$.

Proof. i) For $\omega = \nu$, the assertion follows from the relation $\ker \phi + \ker \psi \subseteq \ker \nu$. To obtain the assertion for $\omega = \phi, \psi$, use the fact that μ is faithful.

ii) Let $a \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$. Then $\nu(r(b)a) = \mu(b\phi(a)) = \mu(\phi(a)b) = \nu(ar(b))$ and similarly $\nu(s(b')a) = \nu(as(b'))$. To obtain the assertion for $\omega = \phi, \psi$, use the fact that μ is faithful again.

iii) This follows immediately equation (10) and i). ☐

Combining strong invariance of ϕ and ψ with quasi-invariance of μ, one finds that the map $\nu = \mu \circ \phi$ has a modular automorphism and thus satisfies an algebraic variant of the KMS-condition. Our proof closely follows [31], where the corresponding result was obtained for multiplier Hopf algebras.

Let C be a $*$-algebra with local units and a faithful, positive, linear map $\omega \colon C \to \mathbb{C}$. A *modular automorphism* for ω is a bijection $\theta_\omega \colon C \to C$ satisfying $\omega(cc') = \omega(c'\theta_\omega(c))$ for all $c, c' \in C$. The following result is certainly well-known.

1.5.7. **Lemma.** *If it exists, a modular automorphism* θ_ω *for* ω *is uniquely determined, an algebra automorphism, and satisfies* $\omega \circ \theta_\omega = \omega$ *and* $\theta_\omega \circ * \circ \theta_\omega \circ * = \mathrm{id}_C$.

Proof. Use the relations $\omega(z\theta_\omega(xy)) = \omega(xyz) = \omega(yz\theta_\omega(x)) = \omega(z\theta_\omega(x)\theta_\omega(y))$ and $\omega(yx) = \overline{\omega(x^*y^*)} = \overline{\omega(y^*\theta_\omega(x^*))} = \omega(\theta_\omega(x^*)^*y) = \omega(y\theta_\omega(\theta_\omega(x^*)^*))$, where $x, y, z \in C$. ☐

1.5.8. **Theorem.** *There exists a modular automorphism* θ *for* ν, *and this* θ *is a* $(B, \Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$*-module automorphism of* A.

Proof. We divide the proof into four steps.

Step 1. Repeatedly using (9), we find that for all $x, x', y, y' \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_{x'} = \bar{\partial}_{y'}^{-1} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \nu^{-1}(ys(\psi(xx'))y') = \mu(\psi(yy')\bar{\partial}_{y'}^{-1}(\psi(xx'))) \\ &= \mu(\psi(xx')\bar{\partial}_{y'}(\psi(yy')\bar{D}_{\partial_{y'}})) = \nu^{-1}(xs(\psi(yy'))\bar{D}(x')), \qquad (11) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_{x} = \partial_{y'} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \nu(yr(\psi(xx'))y') = \mu(\phi(yy')\partial_{y'}^{-1}(\psi(xx'))) \\ &= \mu(\partial_{y'}(\phi(yy')D_{\partial y'})\psi(xx')) = \nu^{-1}(xs(\phi(D(y)y'))x'), \qquad (12) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x} = \partial_{y}^{-1} \quad &\Rightarrow \quad \nu(yr(\phi(xx'))y') = \mu(\partial_{y}(\phi(xx'))\phi(yy')) \\ &= \mu(\partial_{y}^{-1}(\phi(yy')D_{\partial_y^{-1}})\phi(xx')) = \nu(xr(\phi(D(y)y'))x'). \qquad (13) \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Let $c, d \in A$ and

$$a = \sum \bar{D}(s(\psi(dS(c_{(2)}))c_{(1)})) \in A, \qquad a' = \sum d_{(2)}r(\phi(D(S(d_{(1)}))\bar{D}(c))) \in A. \qquad (14)$$

Then the equations above and Proposition 1.4.4 imply

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu^{-1}(za) &= \sum \nu^{-1}(z\bar{D}(s(\psi(dS(c_{(2)})))c_{(1)})) && \\
&= \sum \nu^{-1}(ds(\psi(zc_{(1)}))S(c_{(2)})) && \text{(Equation (11))} \\
&= \sum \nu^{-1}(dr(\psi(z_{(1)}c))z_{(2)}) && \text{(Proposition 1.4.4)} \\
&= \sum \nu^{-1}(z_{(1)}s(\phi(D(d)z_{(2)}))c) && \text{(Equation (12))} \\
&= \sum \nu^{-1}(S(D(d_{(1)}))r(\phi(d_{(2)}z))c) && \text{(Proposition 1.4.4)} \\
&= \sum \nu(S(d_{(1)})r(\phi(d_{(2)}z))\bar{D}(c)) && \text{(use } S \circ D = \bar{D}^{-1} \circ S \text{ and 1.5.6 iii))} \\
&= \sum \nu(d_{(2)}r(\phi(D(S(d_{(1)}))\bar{D}(c)))z) = \nu(a'z). && \text{(Equation 13)}
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. Using bijectivity of the maps $\bar{D}, S, T_1$ and the relation $\langle s(\psi(A))A\rangle = A$, one finds that all elements of the form like a in (14) span A. A similar argument shows that the same is true for elements of the form like a'. Hence, there exists a bijection $\theta \colon A \to A$ such that $\nu(az) = \nu(z\theta(a))$ for all $a \in A$, and uniqueness of such a bijection follows from faithfulness of ν.

Step 4. We first show that θ respects the grading. Let $c, d \in A$ be homogeneous. Then the element a in (14) is homogeneous as well, with grading given by $\partial_a = \partial_c$ and $\bar{\partial}_a = \bar{\partial}_d$ because $\psi(dS(c_{(2)})) = 0$ unless $\bar{\partial}_d = \partial_{c_{(2)}} = \bar{\partial}_{c_{(1)}}$, and similarly a' in (14) is homogeneous with the same degree like a. To see that θ is $B \otimes B$-linear, use the relation $\nu(y\theta(r(b)s(b')x)) = \nu(r(b)s(b')xy) = \nu(xyr(b)s(b')) = \nu(yr(b)s(b')\theta(x))$, where $x, y \in A$ and $b, b' \in B$, and faithfulness of ν. □

Define $\theta_D, \theta_{\bar{D}}, \theta_{D,\bar{D}} \colon A \to A$ by

$$\theta_D := \theta \circ D^{-1} = D^{-1} \circ \theta, \qquad \theta_{\bar{D}} := \theta \circ \bar{D}^{-1} = \bar{D}^{-1} \circ \theta, \qquad \theta_{D,\bar{D}} := \theta \circ D^{-1} \circ \bar{D}^{-1}.$$

1.5.9. **Proposition.** i) *$\phi \circ \theta = \phi$ and $\phi(xy) = \partial_x(\phi(y\theta_D(x)))$ for all $x, y \in A$.*
ii) *$\psi \circ \theta = \psi$ and $\psi(xy) = \bar{\partial}_x(\psi(y\theta_{\bar{D}}(x)))$ for all $x, y \in A$.*
iii) *$h \circ \theta = h$ and $h(xy) = (\partial_x \otimes \partial_x)(h(y\theta_{D,\bar{D}}(x)))$ for all $x, y \in A$ if h is a bi-invariant integral and $\nu = (\mu \otimes \mu) \circ h$.*

Proof. Assertion i) follows from the fact that μ is faithful and that for all $x, y \in A$, $b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(b\phi(\theta(x))) = \nu(r(b)\theta(x)) &= \nu(\theta(r(b)x)) = \nu(r(b)x) = \mu(b\phi(x)), \\
\mu(b\phi(y\theta(x))) = \nu(r(b)y\theta(x)) &= \nu(xr(b)y) \\
&= \nu(r(\partial_x(bD_{\partial_x}))xr(D_{\partial_x}^{-1})y) \\
&= \mu(\partial_x(bD_{\partial_x})\phi(D(x)y)) = \mu(b\partial_x^{-1}(\phi(D(x)y))).
\end{aligned}$$

Assertions ii) and iii) follow similarly. □

1.5.10. **Proposition.** *Assume that A_s is a flat B-module. Then $\Delta \circ \theta_D = (S^2 \tilde{\otimes} \theta_D) \circ \Delta$.*

Proof. Let $x,y \in A$. Using Sweedler notation, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \theta_D(x)_{(1)} s(\phi(y\theta_D(x)_{(2)})) &= \sum S(s(\phi(y_{(2)}\theta_D(x)))y_{(1)}) && \text{(Proposition 1.4.4))} \\
&= \sum S(s(\partial_x^{-1}(\phi(xy_{(2)})))y_{(1)}) && \text{(Proposition 1.5.9)} \\
&= \sum S(y_{(1)}s(\phi(xy_{(2)}))) \\
&= \sum S^2(s(\phi(x_{(2)}y))x_{(1)}) && \text{(Proposition 1.4.4)} \\
&= \sum S^2(s(\partial_{x_{(2)}}(\phi(y\theta_D(x_{(2)}))))x_{(1)}) && \text{(Proposition (1.5.9))} \\
&= \sum S^2(x_{(1)})s(\phi(y\theta_D(x_{(2)}))).
\end{aligned}$$

Since A_s is a flat B-module and maps of the form $a \mapsto \phi(ya)$, where $y \in A$, separate the points of A, we can conclude $\sum \theta_D(x)_{(1)} \tilde{\otimes} \theta_D(x)_{(2)} = \sum S^2(x_{(1)}) \tilde{\otimes} \theta_D(x_{(2)})$. ☐

1.6. **The dual $*$-algebra.** Let $(A,\Delta,\varepsilon,S,\phi,\psi,\mu)$ be a measured multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid. Denote by $M(A)'$ the dual vector space of $M(A)$ and let

$$\hat{A} := \{\nu(x-) : x \in A\} \subseteq M(A)'$$

Then $\hat{A} = \{\nu(-x) : x \in A\}$ by Theorem 1.5.8 and for each $\omega \in \hat{A}$, there exist unique B-module maps ${}_r\omega\colon {}_rM(A) \to B$, $\omega_r\colon M(A)_r \to B$, ${}_s\omega\colon {}_sM(A) \to B$, $\omega_s\colon M(A)_s \to B$ whose composition with μ equals ω, because $\nu = \mu \circ \phi = \mu \circ \psi$ and μ is faithful. Using either of these B-module maps, one can equip $\hat{A}$ with the structure of a $*$-algebra. We shall choose an approach that fits well with the duality on the operator-algebraic level in the next section.

First, we define an abstract Fourier transform

$$A \to \hat{A}, \quad x \mapsto \hat{x} := \nu(S^{-1}(x)-).$$

Evidently, $\hat{x}_r = \phi(S^{-1}(x)-)$. Next, we define for all $x,a \in A$ a convolution product

$$\hat{x} * a := \sum a_{(1)} s(\hat{x}_r(a_{(2)})) = \sum a_{(1)} s(\phi(S^{-1}(x)a_{(2)})) \in A. \tag{15}$$

Using Proposition 1.4.4 and (7), we can rewrite this product in the form

$$\hat{x} * a = \sum S(S^{-1}(x)_{(1)})r(\phi(S^{-1}(x)_{(2)}a) = \sum x_{(2)} r(\phi(S^{-1}(x_{(1)})a)). \tag{16}$$

1.6.1. **Lemma.** i) *The map $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is bijective and $\langle \hat{A} * A \rangle = A$;*

ii) *$\hat{x} * s(b)a = \widehat{r(b)x} * a$ and $\hat{x} * as(b) = \widehat{xr(b)} * a$ for all $x,a \in A, b \in B$;*

iii) *$\hat{x} * (\hat{y} * a) = \widehat{\hat{x} * y} * a$ for all $x,y,a \in A$;*

iv) *$(A_{\gamma'^{-1},\gamma^{-1}})\widehat{\ } * A_{\delta'^{-1},\delta^{-1}} \subseteq \delta_{\gamma',\delta} A_{\delta'^{-1},\gamma^{-1}}$ for all $\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta' \in \Gamma$.*

Proof. i) This follows easily from fact that T_1 is bijective and the relation $S^{-1} = * \circ S \circ *$.

ii) The first equation follows easily. For the second one, use Lemma 1.5.6 ii).

ii) Let $x,y,a \in A$. Using (15) and (16), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{x} * (\hat{y} * a) &= \sum a_{(1)} s(\phi(S^{-1}(x)y_{(2)} r(\phi(S^{-1}(y_{(1)})a_{(2)})))) \\
&= \sum a_{(1)} s(\phi(S^{-1}(x)y_{(2)})\phi(S^{-1}(y_{(1)})a_{(2)})) \\
&= \sum a_{(1)} s(\phi(S^{-1}(y_{(1)} s(\phi(S^{-1}(x)y_{(2)})))a_{(2)})) = \widehat{\hat{x} * y} * a.
\end{aligned}$$

iii) By Lemma 1.5.6, $(A_{\gamma'^{-1},\gamma^{-1}})\widehat{\ } * A_{\delta'^{-1},\delta^{-1}} \subseteq \sum_{\delta''} A_{\delta'^{-1},\delta''} s(\phi(A_{\gamma,\gamma'} A_{\delta'',\delta^{-1}})) \subseteq \delta_{\gamma',\delta} A_{\delta'^{-1},\gamma^{-1}}$. ☐

The $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra structure on A induces the following structure on $\hat{A}$:

1.6.2. **Definition.** *A* $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-matrix-algebra *is a non-degenerate* $*$*-algebra* $\hat{A}$ *equipped with a non-degenerate* $*$*-homomorphism* $B\otimes B \to M(\hat{A})$ *and a direct sum decomposition* $\hat{A} = \bigoplus_{\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma} \hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'}$ *as a vector space such that*

$$\hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'}\hat{A}^{\delta,\delta'} \subseteq \delta_{\gamma',\delta}\hat{A}^{\gamma,\delta'}, \quad (\hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'})^{*} = \hat{A}^{\gamma',\gamma}, \quad (B\otimes B)\hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'} \subseteq \hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'}, \quad \langle \hat{A}^{e,e}\hat{A}\rangle = \hat{A},$$
$$(b\otimes b')\hat{a} = (\gamma^{-1}(b')\otimes\gamma(b))\hat{a}, \quad \hat{a}(b\otimes b') = \hat{a}(\gamma'^{-1}(b')\otimes\gamma'(b))$$

for all $\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta'\in\Gamma$, $\hat{a}\in\hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'}$, $b,b'\in B$. *Given such an algebra, we write* $\hat{r}$ *and* $\hat{s}$ *for the compositions* $B\cong B\otimes 1 \to M(\hat{A})$ *and* $B\cong 1\otimes B\to M(\hat{A})$, *and* $\delta_{\hat{a}} := \gamma$ *and* $\overline{\delta}_{\hat{a}} := \gamma'$ *whenever* $\hat{a}\in\hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'}$.

1.6.3. **Proposition.** $\hat{A}$ *carries a structure of a* $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$*-matrix-algebra such that*

i) $\hat{x}\hat{y} = \widehat{\hat{x}*y}$ *and* $\hat{x}^{*} = \widehat{S(x^{*})}$ *for all* $x,y\in A$;
ii) $\hat{r}(b)\hat{x} = \widehat{s(b)x}$, $\hat{x}\hat{r}(b) = \widehat{r(b)x}$ *and* $\hat{s}(b)\hat{x} = \widehat{xs(b)}$, $\hat{x}\hat{s}(b) = \widehat{xr(b)}$ *for all* $x\in A, b\in B$;
iii) $\hat{A}^{\gamma,\gamma'} = (A_{\gamma'^{-1},\gamma^{-1}})\hat{}$ *for all* $\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma$.

Proof. The multiplication is associative and turns $\hat{A}$ into a non-degenerate algebra by Lemma 1.6.1 i). The $*$-operation is involutive because $S\circ *\circ S\circ * = \mathrm{id}$, and anti-multiplicative because for all $x,y\in A$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{S(x^{*})}*S(y^{*}) &= \sum S(y^{*})_{(1)}s(\phi(x^{*}S(y^{*})_{(2)}))\\ &= \sum S(r(\phi(x^{*}S(y^{*}_{(1)})))y^{*}_{(2)})\\ &= \sum S((y_{(2)}r(\phi(S^{-1}(y_{(1)})x)))^{*}) = S((\hat{y}*x)^{*}).\end{aligned}$$

For each $b\in B$, the formulas in ii) define multipliers $\hat{r}(b),\hat{s}(b)\in M(\hat{A})$ because

$$\hat{x}\cdot(\hat{r}(b)\hat{y}) = (\hat{x}*s(b)y)\hat{} = (\widehat{r(b)x}*y)\hat{} = (\hat{x}\hat{r}(b))\hat{y}$$

and similarly $\hat{x}(\hat{s}(b)\hat{y}) = (\hat{x}\hat{s}(b))\hat{y}$ for all $x,y\in A$ by Lemma 1.6.1 ii). The maps $\hat{r},\hat{s}\colon B\to M(\hat{A})$ are non-degenerate homomorphisms because $r,s\colon B\to M(A)$ have the same properties, their images evidently commute, and they are involutive because

$$(\hat{x}\hat{r}(b))^{*} = (\widehat{s(b)x})^{*} = S(x^{*}s(b^{*}))\hat{} = (r(b^{*})S(x^{*}))\hat{} = \hat{r}(b^{*})\hat{x}^{*}$$

and similarly $(\hat{x}\hat{s}(b))^{*} = \hat{s}(b^{*})\hat{x}^{*}$ for all $x\in A$, $b\in B$. Finally, for all $\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma$, $x\in A_{\gamma'^{-1},\gamma^{-1}}$, $b\in B$,

$$\hat{r}(b)\hat{x} = \widehat{s(b)x} = (xs(\gamma(b)))\hat{} = \hat{s}(\gamma(b))\widehat{x}, \qquad \hat{x}\hat{r}(b) = \widehat{r(b)x} = (xr(\gamma'(b)))\hat{} = \hat{x}\hat{s}(\gamma'(b)),$$

and using Lemma 1.6.1 iv), one easily verifies that $\hat{A}$ is $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-matrix-algebra. □

1.6.4. **Remark.** We expect that $\hat{A}$ carries a natural structure of a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-algebra if A has a suitable structure of a $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-matrix algebra, and that $\hat{A}$ carries a natural structure of a (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid if (A,Δ) additionally is $(B,\Gamma)^{\mathrm{ev}}$-*bigraded* in the sense that

i) A is the direct sum of the subspaces $A^{\delta,\delta'}_{\gamma,\gamma'} := A^{\delta,\delta'}\cap A_{\gamma,\gamma'}$, where $\delta,\delta',\gamma,\gamma'\in\Gamma$, and $A = \langle A^{e,e}_{e,e}A\rangle$;
ii) $\Delta(A^{\delta,\delta'}_{\gamma,\gamma''}) \subseteq \sum A^{\alpha,\alpha'}_{\gamma,\gamma'}\tilde{\otimes}A^{\beta,\beta'}_{\gamma',\gamma''}$ for all $\gamma,\gamma',\delta,\delta'\in\Gamma$, where the sum is taken over all $\alpha,\alpha',\beta,\beta'\in\Gamma$ satisfying $\alpha\beta=\delta$ and $\alpha'\beta'=\delta'$.

In that case, $\varepsilon(A^{\delta,\delta'}) = 0$ if $(\delta,\delta') \neq (e,e)$ and $S(A^{\delta,\delta'}) \subseteq A^{\delta'^{-1},\delta^{-1}}$ for all $\delta,\delta' \in \Gamma$. Indeed, For each $\delta,\delta' \in \Gamma$, denote by $p^{\delta,\delta'} \colon A = \bigoplus_{\gamma,\gamma'} A^{\gamma,\gamma'} \to A^{\delta,\delta'} \subseteq A$ the projection, let $\varepsilon' := \varepsilon \circ p^{e,e} \colon A \to B$, and define $S' \colon A \to A$ by $S'|_{A^{\delta,\delta'}} = p^{\delta'^{-1},\delta^{-1}} \circ S|_{A^{\delta,\delta'}}$ for all $\delta,\delta' \in \Gamma$. Then one can check that ε' is a counit and S' an antipode for (A,Δ) and therefore coincide with ε and S, respectively.

2. CONSTRUCTION OF ASSOCIATED MEASURED QUANTUM GROUPOIDS

Throughout this section, we assume:

(A1) $(A,\Delta,\varepsilon,S,\mu,\phi,\psi)$ is a measured multiplier (B,Γ)-Hopf $*$-algebroid.

We shall construct operator-algebraic completions of this algebraic object in the form of a Hopf C^*-bimodule, Hopf-von Neumann bimodule and a measured quantum groupoid. Along the way, we shall impose further assumptions on B,Γ,μ,A which were mentioned already in the introduction, most notably properness of A.

The basic idea is to use the GNS-representations for the functional μ on the basis B and the functional ν on the total algebra A, respectively. Naturally, some restrictions have to be made on B,Γ,μ. To show that ν admits a bounded GNS-representation and to lift the comultiplication to the level of operator algebras, we use a fundamental unitary. To take full advantage of this unitary, we describe its domain and range as relative tensor products, and show that it is a pseudo-multiplicative unitary in the sense of [25] and [27]. The necessary modules are introduced in §2.2, and the unitary itself is constructed in §2.3. This part uses Connes' spatial theory [21], and the relative tensor product of Hilbert spaces over C^*-algebras which was introduced in [23]. The fundamental unitary then gives rise to completions of A and $\hat{A}$ in the form of Hopf C^*-bimodules and two Hopf-von Neumann bimodules; see §2.4–§2.6.To obtain the full structure of a measured quantum groupoid, we finally extend the integrals ϕ,ψ to the level of von Neumann algebras and show that these extensions are left or right invariant again in §2.7.

Before we turn to details, let us briefly sketch the construction of the fundamental unitary, which we denote by V. Its domain and range can be described as separated completions of the relative tensor products $A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA$ and ${}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA$ with respect to the sesquilinear forms given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \underset{B}{\otimes} y) | x' \underset{B}{\otimes} y' \rangle_{(A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA)} &= \nu(y^* s(\psi(x^*x'))y'), \\ \langle x \underset{B}{\otimes} y | x' \underset{B}{\otimes} y' \rangle_{({}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA)} &= \nu(y^* r(\bar{\partial}_x(\psi(x^*x')))y'). \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

Note that positivity of these forms is not evident because ψ is not assumed to be completely positive in any sense. Given that positivity, the map

$$T_1 \colon A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA \to {}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA, \quad x \underset{B}{\otimes} y \mapsto \Delta(x)(1 \underset{B}{\otimes} y) = \sum x_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} x_{(2)}y,$$

extends to a unitary V on the respective completions because it is surjective by Proposition 1.3.7 and isometric as shown by the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \langle x_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} x_{(2)}y | x'_{(1)} \underset{B}{\otimes} x'_{(2)}y' \rangle_{({}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA)} &= \sum \nu(y^* x^*_{(2)} (\bar{\partial}_{x_{(1)}} r(\psi(x^*_{(1)} x'_{(1)})))) x'_{(2)} y') \\ &= \sum \nu(y^* r(\psi(x^*_{(1)} x'_{(1)})) x^*_{(2)} x'_{(2)} y') \\ &= \nu(y^* s(\psi(x^*x'))y') = \langle x \underset{B}{\otimes} y | x' \underset{B}{\otimes} y' \rangle_{(A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA)}. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Instead of V, one can equivalently construct and employ another fundamental unitary W which is the adjoint of an extension of the map $x \underset{B}{\otimes} y \mapsto \Delta(y)(x \underset{B}{\otimes} 1)$.

2.1. **Preparations concerning the base.** We define an inner product on B by $\langle b|b'\rangle := \mu(b^*b')$ for all $b,b' \in B$, and denote by K the Hilbert space obtained by completion, and by $\Lambda_\mu \colon B \to K$ the canonical inclusion. To proceed, we have to impose the following assumption:

(A2) For each $b \in B$, the following equivalent conditions hold:
  i) there exists a $K \geq 0$ such that $\mu(c^*b^*bc) \leq K\mu(c^*c)$ for all $c \in B$;
  ii) there exists an operator $\pi_\mu(b) \in \mathcal{L}(K)$ such that $\pi_\mu(b)\Lambda_\mu(c) = \Lambda_\mu(bc)$ for all $c \in B$.

2.1.1. **Remark.** To apply the constructions below, it may be useful to first perform a base change, similarly as described in [22, §2], to replace B by an algebra of the form $C_c(\Omega)$, where Ω is a locally compact space with an action of Γ. Then condition (A2) is automatically satisfied. For example, one can take Ω to be the set of all $*$-homomorphisms $\chi \colon B \to \mathbb{C}$, equipped with the weakest topology that makes the function $\Omega \to \mathbb{C}$, $\chi \mapsto \chi(b)$, continuous for each $b \in B$, and perform a base change along the canonical map $B \to M(C_c(\Omega))$. Note, however, that such a base change can not simply be applied to left and right integrals, but only to bi-integrals.

Assumption (A2) immediately implies the existence of a $*$-homomorphism $\pi_\mu \colon B \to \mathcal{L}(K)$ which can be regarded as a GNS-representation for μ.

Recall that a *Hilbert algebra* is a $*$-algebra with an inner product such that left multiplication by each element is bounded, the resulting $*$-representation is non-degenerate, and the involution is pre-closed with respect to the norm induced by the inner product. Since B is commutative, the map $\Lambda_\mu(B) \to \Lambda_\mu(B)$ given by $\Lambda_\mu(b) \mapsto \Lambda_\mu(b^*)$ extends to an anti-unitary operator J_μ on K, and hence $\Lambda_\mu(B) \subseteq K$ together with the $*$-algebra structure inherited from B is a Hilbert algebra. We thus obtain

- a von Neumann algebra $N := \pi_\mu(B)'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(K)$,
- a n.s.f. weight $\tilde{\mu}$ on N such that $\tilde{\mu}(\pi_\mu(b^*b)) = \langle \Lambda_\mu(b)|\Lambda_\mu(b)\rangle = \mu(b^*b)$ for all $b \in B$,
- a left ideal $\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}} := \{x \in N : \tilde{\mu}(x^*x) < \infty\} \subseteq N$ of square-integrable elements,
- a closed map $\Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}} \colon \mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}} \to K$ such that $(K, \Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}}, \mathrm{id}_N)$ is a GNS-representation for $\tilde{\mu}$; this is the closure of the map $\pi_\mu(B) \to K$ given by $\pi_\mu(b) \to \Lambda_\mu(b)$.

2.2. **Various module structures.** We define an inner product on A by $\langle a|a'\rangle := \nu(a^*a')$ for all $a,a' \in A$, denote by H the Hilbert space obtained by completion, and by $\Lambda_\nu \colon A \to H$ the canonical inclusion.

2.2.1. **Lemma.** *There exist maps $\Lambda_\phi, \Lambda_\psi, \Lambda_\phi^\dagger, \Lambda_\psi^\dagger \colon A \to \mathcal{L}(K,H)$ such that for all $x,y \in A$, $b \in B$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_\phi(x)\Lambda_\mu(b) &= \Lambda_\nu(xr(b)), & \Lambda_\phi(x)^*\Lambda_\nu(y) &= \Lambda_\mu(\phi(x^*y)), & \Lambda_\phi(x)^*\Lambda_\phi(y) &= \pi_\mu(\phi(x^*y)),\\
\Lambda_\psi(x)\Lambda_\mu(b) &= \Lambda_\nu(xs(b)), & \Lambda_\psi(x)^*\Lambda_\nu(y) &= \Lambda_\mu(\psi(x^*y)), & \Lambda_\psi(x)^*\Lambda_\psi(y) &= \pi_\mu(\psi(x^*y)),\\
\Lambda_\phi^\dagger(x)\Lambda_\mu(b) &= \Lambda_\nu(r(b)x), & \Lambda_\phi^\dagger(x)^*\Lambda_\nu(y) &= \Lambda_\mu(\phi(y\theta(x^*))), & \Lambda_\phi^\dagger(x)^*\Lambda_\phi^\dagger(y) &= \pi_\mu(\phi(y\theta(x^*))),\\
\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(x)\Lambda_\mu(b) &= \Lambda_\nu(s(b)x), & \Lambda_\psi^\dagger(x)^*\Lambda_\nu(y) &= \Lambda_\mu(\psi(y\theta(x^*))), & \Lambda_\psi^\dagger(x)^*\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(y) &= \pi_\mu(\psi(y\theta(x^*))).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We only prove the assertions concerning Λ_ϕ and $\Lambda_\phi^\dagger$. They follow from the relations

$$\|\Lambda_\nu(xr(b))\|^2 = \nu(r(b)^*x^*xr(b)) = \mu(b^*\phi(x^*x)b) \leq \|\pi_\mu(\phi(x^*x))\|\|\Lambda_\mu(b)\|^2,$$
$$\langle \Lambda_\nu(y)|\Lambda_\nu(xr(b))\rangle = \nu(y^*xr(b)) = \mu(\phi(y^*x)b) = \langle \Lambda_\mu(\phi(x^*y))|\Lambda_\mu(b)\rangle$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\Lambda_\nu(r(b)x)\|^2 = \nu(x^* r(b^*b)x) &= \nu(\theta^{-1}(x)x^* r(b^*b)) \\
&= \mu(\phi(\theta^{-1}(x)x^*)b^*b) \leq \|\Lambda_\mu(b)\|^2 \|\pi_\mu(\theta^{-1}(x)x^*)\|, \\
\langle \Lambda_\nu(y)|\Lambda_\nu(r(b)x)\rangle = \nu(y^* r(b)x) &= \nu(\theta^{-1}(x)y^* r(b)) \\
&= \mu(\phi(\theta^{-1}(x)y^*)b) = \langle \Lambda_\mu(\phi(y\theta(x^*)))|\Lambda_\mu(b)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

which hold for all $x, y \in A$ and $b \in B$. ☐

The maps introduced above yield various module structures on H as follows. Let

$$E_\phi := [\Lambda_\phi(A)], \qquad E_\psi := [\Lambda_\psi(A)], \qquad E_\phi^\dagger := [\Lambda_\phi^\dagger(A)], \qquad E_\psi^\dagger := [\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(A)]. \tag{19}$$

We shall use the following concepts introduced in [23, 25]. A *C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-module*, where $\mathfrak{b} = (K, [\pi_\mu(B)], [\pi_\mu(B)])$, consists of a Hilbert space L and a closed subset $E \subseteq \mathcal{L}(K, L)$ such that $[EK] = L$, $[E\pi_\mu(B)] = E$, $[E^*E] = [\pi_\mu(B)]$. Each such C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-module gives rise to a normal, faithful, non-degenerate representation $\rho_E \colon N = \pi_\mu(B)'' \to \mathcal{L}(L)$ such that $\rho_E(x)\xi = \xi x$ for all $x \in N$, $\xi \in E$. A *C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b})$-module* is a triple (L, E, F) such that (L, E) and (L, F) are C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-modules and $[\rho_E(\pi_\mu(B))F] = F$ and $[\rho_F(\pi_\mu(B))E] = [E]$.

2.2.2. **Lemma.** *The Hilbert space H is a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b})$-module with respect to either two of the spaces $E_\phi, E_\psi, E_\phi^\dagger, E_\psi^\dagger$. The representations $\alpha := \rho_{E_\phi^\dagger}$, $\beta := \rho_{E_\psi^\dagger}$, $\widehat{\alpha} := \rho_{E_\psi}$, $\widehat{\beta} := \rho_{E_\phi}$ of N on H are given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(\pi_\mu(b))\Lambda_\nu(a) = \Lambda_\nu(r(b)a), && \beta(\pi_\mu(b))\Lambda_\nu(a) = \Lambda_\nu(s(b)a), \\
&\widehat{\beta}(\pi_\mu(b))\Lambda_\nu(a) = \Lambda_\nu(ar(b)), && \widehat{\alpha}(\pi_\mu(b))\Lambda_\nu(a) = \Lambda_\nu(as(b)) \qquad \text{for all } b \in B, a \in A.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let E, F be any two of the spaces listed above. Then $[EH] = H$ and $[E\pi_\mu(B)] = E$ because $\langle r(B)s(B)Ar(B)s(B)\rangle = A$, and $[E^*E] = [\pi_\mu(B)]$ because $\phi(A) = B = \psi(A)$. Thus, (H, E) is a C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-module. The formulas for the associated representations are easily verified. Using these formulas and the relation $\langle r(B)s(B)Ar(B)s(B)\rangle = A$, one easily checks that $[\rho_E(\pi_\mu(B))F] = F$ and $[\rho_F(\pi_\mu(B))E] = E$. ☐

Recall that a vector ζ in a Hilbert space L is *bounded* with respect to a normal, non-degenerate representation $\rho \colon N \to L$ and the weight $\tilde{\mu}$ if the following equivalent conditions hold:

i) there exists a $K \geq 0$ such that $\|\rho(x)\zeta\| \leq K\tilde{\mu}(x^*x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}}$;
ii) there exists an operator $R_\zeta^{\rho,\tilde{\mu}} \in \mathcal{L}(K, L)$ such that $R_\zeta^{\rho,\tilde{\mu}}\Lambda_\mu(x) = \rho(x)\zeta$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}}$.

The set of all such bounded vectors is denoted by $D(L_\rho, \tilde{\mu})$. This spaces carries an N-valued inner product $\langle -|-\rangle_{\rho,\tilde{\mu}}$, given by $\langle \zeta|\zeta'\rangle_{\rho,\tilde{\mu}} = (R_\zeta^{\rho,\tilde{\mu}})^* R_{\zeta'}^{\rho,\tilde{\mu}}$ for all $\zeta, \zeta' \in D(L_\rho, \tilde{\mu})$, and $\rho(N)' D(L_\rho, \tilde{\mu}) = D(L_\rho, \tilde{\mu})$ and

$$\Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}}(\langle \zeta|\zeta'\rangle_{\rho,\tilde{\mu}}) = (R_\zeta^{\rho,\tilde{\mu}})^*\zeta', \qquad R_{T\zeta}^{\rho,\tilde{\mu}} = TR_\zeta^{\rho,\tilde{\mu}} \qquad \text{for all } T \in \rho(N)', \zeta, \zeta' \in D(L_\rho, \tilde{\mu}). \tag{20}$$

2.2.3. **Lemma.** *$\Lambda_\nu(A) \subseteq D(H_\alpha, \tilde{\mu}) \cap D(H_\beta, \tilde{\mu}) \cap D(H_{\widehat{\alpha}}, \tilde{\mu}) \cap D(H_{\widehat{\beta}}, \tilde{\mu})$ and for all $x, y \in A$,*

$$R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}^{\alpha,\tilde{\mu}} = \Lambda_\phi^\dagger(x), \qquad R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}} = \Lambda_\psi^\dagger(x), \qquad R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}^{\widehat{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}} = \Lambda_\psi(x), \qquad R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}^{\widehat{\beta},\tilde{\mu}} = \Lambda_\phi(x).$$

Proof. We shall only prove the assertion concerning α. Let $a \in A$. Then $\Lambda_{\phi}^{\dagger}(a)\Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}}(\pi_{\mu}(b)) = \Lambda_{\nu}(r(b)a) = \alpha(\pi_{\mu}(b))\Lambda_{\nu}(a)$ for all $b \in B$, and since $\pi_{\mu}(B)$ is a core for $\Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}}$, we can conclude $\Lambda_{\phi}^{\dagger}(a)\Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}}(x) = \alpha(x)\Lambda_{\nu}(a)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}}$. □

The preceding result and Lemma 2.2.1 imply that for all $x,y \in A$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \Lambda_{\nu}(x)|\Lambda_{\nu}(y)\rangle_{\alpha,\tilde{\mu}} = \pi_{\mu}(\phi(y\theta(x^{*}))), \quad &&\langle \Lambda_{\nu}(x)|\Lambda_{\nu}(y)\rangle_{\beta,\tilde{\mu}} = \pi_{\mu}(\psi(y\theta(x^{*}))), \\ &\langle \Lambda_{\nu}(x)|\Lambda_{\nu}(y)\rangle_{\hat{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}} = \pi_{\mu}(\psi(x^{*}y)), &&\langle \Lambda_{\nu}(x)|\Lambda_{\nu}(y)\rangle_{\hat{\beta},\tilde{\mu}} = \pi_{\mu}(\phi(x^{*}y)). \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

2.3. **The fundamental unitary.** To define the domain and the range of the fundamental unitary, we use Connes' relative tensor product of Hilbert modules and the module structures introduced above. Connes' original manuscript on the construction remained unpublished; we therefore refer to [21] and [24] for details.

The *relative tensor product* $H_{\hat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta} H$ is the separated completion of the algebraic tensor product $D(H_{\hat{\alpha}}, \tilde{\mu}) \otimes K \otimes D(H_{\beta}, \tilde{\mu})$ with respect to the sesquilinear form given by

$$\langle \xi \otimes \zeta \otimes \eta | \xi' \otimes \zeta' \otimes \eta' \rangle = \langle \zeta | \langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_{\hat{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}} \langle \eta | \eta' \rangle_{\beta,\tilde{\mu}} \zeta' \rangle. \tag{22}$$

This Hilbert space can naturally be identified with the separated completions of the algebraic tensor products $D(H_{\hat{\alpha}}, \tilde{\mu}) \otimes H$ and $H \otimes D(H_{\beta}, \tilde{\mu})$ with respect to the sesquilinear forms given by

$$\langle \xi \otimes \eta | \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle = \langle \eta | \beta(\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_{\hat{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}}) \eta' \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \xi \otimes \eta | \xi' \otimes \eta' \rangle = \langle \xi | \widehat{\alpha}(\langle \eta | \eta' \rangle_{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}) \xi' \rangle, \tag{23}$$

respectively, via

$$\xi \otimes R_{\xi}^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}} \zeta \equiv \xi \otimes \zeta \otimes \eta \equiv R_{\xi}^{\widehat{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}} \zeta \otimes \eta, \tag{24}$$

and we shall use these identifications without further notice. Replacing the representations $\widehat{\alpha}, \beta$ by β, α, one obtains the relative tensor product $H_{\beta} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha} H$.

To proceed, we shall impose the following simplifying assumption which essentially says that the cocycle $(D_{\gamma})_{\gamma}$ in $M(B)$ has a positive square root on the algebraic level:

(A3) There exists a family $(D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}})_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ in $M(B)$ such that for all $\gamma, \gamma' \in \Gamma$, $c \in B$,

$$D_{e}^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1, \qquad (D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{*} = D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}})^{2} = D_{\gamma}, \qquad D_{\gamma\gamma'}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \gamma'^{-1}(D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}}) D_{\gamma'}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad \mu(c^{*} D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}} c) \geq 0.$$

Clearly, this condition implies the existence of a unitary representation $U \colon \Gamma \to \mathcal{L}(K)$ such that

$$U_{\gamma} \Lambda_{\mu}(c) = \Lambda_{\mu}(\gamma(c D_{\gamma}^{\frac{1}{2}})), \qquad U_{\gamma} \pi_{\mu}(b) U_{\gamma}^{*} = \pi_{\mu}(\gamma(b)) \qquad \text{for all } b, c \in B, \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{25}$$

Similarly as in (10), we define linear maps $D^{\frac{1}{2}}, \overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}} \colon A \to A$ by

$$D^{\frac{1}{2}}(a) = r(D_{\partial_a^{-1}}^{\frac{1}{2}}) a = a r(D_{\partial_a}^{-\frac{1}{2}}), \qquad \overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(a) = s(D_{\overline{\partial}_a^{-1}}^{\frac{1}{2}}) a = a s(D_{\overline{\partial}_a}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$$

for all $a \in A$. These maps share all the properties of the maps $D, \overline{D}$ listed in Lemma 1.5.3. Short calculations show that for all $x, y \in A$,

$$\Lambda_{\phi}(x) U_{\partial_x^{-1}} = \Lambda_{\phi}^{\dagger}(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)), \qquad \langle \Lambda_{\nu}(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)) | \Lambda_{\nu}(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)) \rangle_{\alpha,\tilde{\mu}} = \pi_{\mu}(\partial_x(\phi(x^{*}y))), \tag{26}$$

$$\Lambda_{\psi}(x) U_{\overline{\partial}_x^{-1}} = \Lambda_{\psi}^{\dagger}(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)), \qquad \langle \Lambda_{\nu}(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)) | \Lambda_{\nu}(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)) \rangle_{\beta,\tilde{\mu}} = \pi_{\mu}(\overline{\partial}_x(\psi(x^{*}y))). \tag{27}$$

Indeed, for all $x, y \in A$ and $b \in B$,

$$\Lambda_\phi(x) U_{\partial_x^{-1}} \Lambda_\mu(b) = \Lambda_\nu(xr(\partial_x^{-1}(bD^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\partial_x^{-1}}))) = \Lambda_\nu(r(bD^{\frac{1}{2}}_{\partial_x^{-1}} x)) = \Lambda^\dagger_\psi(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x))\Lambda_\mu(b),$$
$$\Lambda^\dagger_\phi(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x))^* \Lambda^\dagger_\phi(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y)) = U^*_{\partial_x^{-1}} \Lambda_\phi(x)^* \Lambda_\phi(y) U_{\partial_y^{-1}} = U_{\partial_x} \pi_\mu(\phi(x^*y)) U_{\partial_y^{-1}} = \pi_\mu(\partial_x(\phi(x^*y))).$$

2.3.1. **Lemma.** *The sesquilinear forms on* $A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA$ *and* ${}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA$ *defined in* (17) *are positive. Denote by* $\overline{A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA}$ *and* $\overline{{}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA}$ *the respective separated completions. Then there exist isomorphisms*

$$\Lambda\colon \overline{A_s \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_sA} \to H_{\hat\alpha} \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_\beta H, \quad x \underset{B}{\otimes} y \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y),$$
$$\Lambda'\colon \overline{{}_sA \underset{B}{\otimes} {}_rA} \to H_\beta \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H, \quad x \underset{B}{\otimes} y \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x)) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y).$$

Proof. The maps Λ, Λ' are surjective because $\Lambda_\nu(A) \subseteq H$ is dense, and they are well-defined and isometric because (23), (21) and (27) imply for all $x, y \in A$

$$\langle \Lambda(x \otimes y) | \Lambda(x' \otimes y') \rangle = \nu(y^* s(\psi(x^*x'))y'),$$
$$\langle \Lambda'(x \otimes y) | \Lambda'(x' \otimes y') \rangle = \nu(y^* r(\overline{\partial}_x(\psi(x^*x')))y'). \qquad \square$$

2.3.2. **Proposition.** *There exists a unitary* $V\colon H_{\hat\alpha} \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_\beta H \to H_\beta \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H$ *such that for all* $x, y \in A$,

$$V(\Lambda_\nu(x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y)) = \sum \Lambda_\nu(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)})) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(x_{(2)}y) = \sum \Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(2)})y), \tag{28}$$
$$V^*(\Lambda_\nu(x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y)) = \sum \Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(S(\overline{D}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(2)}))y) \sum \Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(S(x_{(2)}))y). \tag{29}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.3.1, the calculation (18), and bijectivity and the inversion formula for T_1 given in Proposition 1.3.7. $\square$

Similarly, we obtain a second fundamental unitary W:

2.3.3. **Proposition.** *There exists a unitary* $W\colon H_\beta \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H \to H_\alpha \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_{\hat\beta} H$ *such that for all* $x, y \in A$,

$$W^*(\Lambda_\nu(x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y)) = \sum \Lambda_\nu(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(1)})x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y_{(2)}) = \sum \Lambda_\nu(y_{(1)}x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(2)})).$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.3.7, the formula for W^* defines a bijection between dense subspaces of the domain and the range. This bijection is isometric because (26) implies for all $x, x', y, y' \in A$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum \langle \Lambda_\nu(y_{(1)}x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(2)})) | \Lambda_\nu(y'_{(1)}x') \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y'_{(2)})) \rangle_{(H_\beta \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H)} \\
&= \sum \langle \Lambda_\nu(y_{(1)}x) | \beta(\langle \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(2)})) | \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(y'_{(2)})) \rangle_{\alpha,\tilde\mu}) \Lambda_\nu(y'_{(1)}x') \rangle \\
&= \sum \nu(x^* y^*_{(1)} s(\partial_{y_{(2)}}(\phi(y^*_{(2)} y'_{(2)}))) y'_{(1)} x') \\
&= \sum \nu(x^* y^*_{(1)} y'_{(1)} r(\phi(y^*_{(2)} y'_{(2)})) x') \\
&= \nu(x^* r(\phi(y^* y')) x') \\
&= \langle \Lambda_\nu(x) \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y) | \Lambda_\nu(x') \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y') \rangle_{(H_\alpha \underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}{}_{\hat\beta} H)}. \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

2.4. **Boundedness of the canonical representations.** The first application of the unitary V is to show that left multiplication on A and convolution by $\hat{A}$ extend to representations on the Hilbert space H.

2.4.1. **Theorem.** *There exist $*$-homomorphisms $\pi_\nu \colon A \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $\lambda \colon \hat{A} \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that*

$$(30) \qquad \pi_\nu(x)\Lambda_\nu(y) = \Lambda_\nu(xy) \text{ for all } x,y \in A, \qquad \lambda(\omega)\Lambda_\nu(y) = \Lambda_\nu(\omega * y) \text{ for all } \omega \in \hat{A}, y \in A.$$

The proof of Theorem 2.4.1 involves operators and slice maps of the following form. For each $\xi \in D(H_{\widehat{\alpha}}, \tilde{\mu})$ and $\eta \in D(H_\beta, \tilde{\mu})$, there exist bounded linear operators

$$(31) \qquad \lambda_\xi^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta} \colon H \to H_{\widehat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\beta H,\ \eta' \mapsto \xi \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \eta', \qquad \rho_\eta^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta} \colon H \to H_{\widehat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\beta H,\ \xi' \mapsto \xi' \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \eta,$$

whose adjoints are given by

$$(\lambda_\xi^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta})^*(\xi' \otimes \eta') = \beta(\langle \xi | \xi' \rangle_{\widehat{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}})\eta', \qquad (\rho_\eta^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta})^*(\xi' \otimes \eta') = \widehat{\alpha}(\langle \eta | \eta' \rangle_{\beta,\tilde{\mu}})\xi'.$$

Likewise, there exist operators $\lambda_\xi^{\beta,\alpha}, \rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha} \colon H \to H_\beta \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\alpha H$ for all $\xi \in D(H_\beta, \tilde{\mu})$ and $\eta \in D(H_\alpha, \tilde{\mu})$ which are defined similarly. Using these operators, one defines slice maps

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_{\xi,\xi'} * \mathrm{id} &\colon \mathcal{L}(H_{\widehat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\beta H, H_\beta \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\alpha H) \to \mathcal{L}(H), \quad T \mapsto (\lambda_\xi^{\beta,\alpha})^* T \lambda_{\xi'}^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}, \\
\mathrm{id} * \omega_{\eta,\eta'} &\colon \mathcal{L}(H_{\widehat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\beta H, H_\beta \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\alpha H) \to \mathcal{L}(H), \quad T \mapsto (\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})^* T \rho_{\eta'}^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}
\end{aligned}$$

for all $\xi \in D(H_\beta, \tilde{\mu})$, $\xi' \in D(H_{\widehat{\alpha}}, \tilde{\mu})$, $\eta \in D(H_\alpha, \tilde{\mu})$, $\eta' \in D(H_\beta, \tilde{\mu})$.

2.4.2. **Lemma.** *Let $x, x', y, y' \in A$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')} * \mathrm{id})(V)\Lambda_\nu(y) &= \Lambda_\nu(ay), \qquad \textit{where } a = \sum D^{\frac{1}{2}}(r(\psi(x'_{(1)}\theta(x^*)))x'_{(2)}) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad = \sum D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x'_{(2)} r(\psi(x^* x'_{(1)}))), \\
(\mathrm{id} * \omega_{\Lambda_\nu(y),\Lambda_\nu(y')})(V)\Lambda_\nu(x) &= \Lambda_\nu(\hat{a}' * x), \quad \textit{where } a' = S(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta^{-1}(y')y^*)).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By definition of V,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\lambda_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}^{\beta,\alpha})^* V \lambda_{\Lambda_\nu(x')}^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta} \Lambda_\nu(y) &= \sum (\lambda_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}^{\beta,\alpha})^* (\Lambda_\nu(x'_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x'_{(2)})y)) \\
&= \sum \alpha(\langle \Lambda_\nu(x) | \Lambda_\nu(x'_{(1)}) \rangle_{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}) \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x'_{(2)})y) \\
&= \sum \Lambda_\nu(r(\psi(x'_{(1)}\theta(x^*)))D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x'_{(2)})y) \qquad \text{(Equation (21))}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum D^{\frac{1}{2}}(r(\psi(x'_{(1)}\theta(x^*)))x'_{(2)}) &= \sum D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r(\psi(\bar{D}(x'_{(1)})\theta(x^*)))x'_{(2)}) \qquad \text{(Lemma 1.5.3)} \\
&= \sum D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(r(\bar{\partial}_{x'_{(1)}}(x^* x'_{(1)})x'_{(2)}) \qquad \text{(Proposition 1.5.9)} \\
&= \sum D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x'_{(2)} r(\psi(x^* x'_{(1)}))).
\end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(y)})^* V \rho^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}_{\Lambda_\nu(y')}\Lambda_\nu(x) &= \sum (\rho^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(y)})^*(\Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(2)})y)) \\ &= \sum \beta(\langle \Lambda_\nu(y)|\Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(2)})y')\rangle_{\alpha,\tilde{\mu}})\Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \\ &= \sum \Lambda_\nu(s(\phi(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(2)})y'\theta(y^*))x_{(1)}) && \text{(Equation (21))} \\ &= \sum \Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}s(\partial^{-1}_{x_{(2)}}(\phi(x_{(2)}D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(y'\theta(y^*)))))) && \text{(Lemma 1.5.6)} \\ &= \sum \Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}s(\phi(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(\theta^{-1}(y')y^*)x_{(2)}))). && \text{(Proposition 1.5.9)} \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4.1. For the elements a and a' of the form in Lemma 2.4.2, the maps $\Lambda_\nu(y) \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(ay)$ and $\Lambda_\nu(x) \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(\hat{a}' * x)$ coincide with compositions of bounded operators and therefore are bounded. Since elements of the form like a, a' span A, we obtain maps $\pi_\nu \colon A \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $\lambda \colon \hat{A} \to \mathcal{L}(H)$ satisfying (30). Evidently, π_ν is a $*$-homomorphism. The map λ is multiplicative because $\Lambda_\nu(\hat{x} * (\hat{y} * z)) = \Lambda_\nu((\hat{x}\hat{y}) * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in A$ by Lemma 1.6.1 iii) and Proposition 1.6.3 i), and it is involutive because

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Lambda_\nu(z)|\lambda(\hat{x})\Lambda_\nu(y)\rangle &= \sum \nu(z^* x_{(2)} r(\phi(S^{-1}(x_{(1)})y))) && \text{(Equation (16))} \\ &= \sum \mu(\phi(z^* x_{(2)})\phi(S^{-1}(x_{(1)})y)) \\ &= \sum \nu(S^{-1}(x_{(1)}s(\phi(z^* x_{(2)})))y) \\ &= \sum \nu(s(\phi(z^*_{(2)}x))z^*_{(1)}y) && \text{(Proposition 1.4.4)} \\ &= \nu((\widehat{S(x^*)} * z)^* y) = \langle \lambda(\hat{x}^*)\Lambda_\nu(z)|\Lambda_\nu(y)\rangle. && \square\end{aligned}$$

2.5. **The Hopf-von Neumann bimodules.** We next show that the fundamental unitary V is pseudo-multiplicative in the sense of [27] and therefore yields two Hopf-von Neumann bimodules, which are completions of A and $\hat{A}$, respectively. First, we need further preliminaries.

The relative tensor product is functorial so that there exist bounded linear operators $S \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} T \in \mathcal{L}(H_{\widehat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\beta H)$ for all $S \in \widehat{\alpha}(N)', T \in \beta(N)'$, as well as $S \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} T \in \mathcal{L}(H_\beta \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\alpha H)$ for all $S \in \beta(N)', T \in \alpha(N)'$, both times given by $\xi \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \eta \mapsto S\xi \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} T\eta$.

In particular, the commuting representations $\alpha, \beta, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}$ yield six representations $\alpha \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}, \beta \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}, \widehat{\beta} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \alpha, \mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \widehat{\alpha}, \mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \beta$ of N on $H_{\widehat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\beta H$, and further six representations of N on $H_\beta \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} {}_\alpha H$.

2.5.1. **Lemma.** *The following relations hold for all $x \in N$:*

$$\begin{gathered} V(\alpha(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) = (\alpha(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})V, \quad V(\beta(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) = (\mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \beta(x))V, \quad V(\widehat{\beta}(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id}) = (\widehat{\beta}(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})V, \\ V(\mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \alpha(x)) = (\widehat{\alpha}(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})V, \quad V(\mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \widehat{\alpha}(x)) = (\mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \widehat{\alpha}(x))V, \quad V(\mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \widehat{\beta}(x)) = (\mathrm{id} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \widehat{\beta}(x))V. \end{gathered}$$

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that $\pi_\mu(B) \subseteq N$ is weakly dense, the equations (28), and the formulas for $\alpha, \beta, \widehat{\alpha}, \widehat{\beta}$ given in Lemma 2.2.2. ☐

The relative tensor product is associative in a natural sense. The intertwining relations for V obtained above imply that all operators in the diagram below are well-defined,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H \arrow[r, "V_{12}"] \arrow[d, "V_{23}"] & H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H \arrow[r, "V_{23}"] & H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H, \\
H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{(\mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\beta)}(H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H) \arrow[rr, "V_{13}"] & & (H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H)_{(\beta\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\mathrm{id})}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H \arrow[u, "V_{12}"']
\end{tikzcd} \tag{32}$$

where $V_{12} = V\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\mathrm{id}$, $V_{23} = \mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}V$, and V_{13} acts on the first and third tensor factor; see [27] for details.

2.5.2. **Lemma.** *Diagram* (32) *commutes, that is,* $V_{12}V_{23} = V_{23}V_{13}V_{12}$.

Proof. A short calculation shows that both compositions are given by

$$\Lambda_{\nu}(x)\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(y)\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(z) \mapsto \sum \Lambda_{\nu}(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)}))\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(2)}y_{(1)}))\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(x_{(3)}y_{(2)}z)$$

for all $x,y,z \in A$. $\square$

Lemma 2.5.1 and Lemma 2.5.2 immediately imply:

2.5.3. **Theorem.** *V is a pseudo-multiplicative unitary in the sense of* [27].

Similarly, one proves:

2.5.4. **Theorem.** *W is a pseudo-multiplicative unitary in the sense of* [27].

Recall from [26] that a *Hopf-von Neumann bimodule* over $(N,\tilde{\mu})$ is a von Neumann algebra M acting on a Hilbert space L together with faithful, non-degenerate, normal representations $\rho,\sigma\colon N \to M$ and a non-degenerate, normal $*$-homomorphism $\Delta\colon M \to M_{\sigma}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{*}{}_{\rho}M$ such that

$$[\rho(N),\sigma(N)] = 0, \qquad \Delta\circ\rho = \rho\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\mathrm{id}, \qquad \Delta\circ\sigma = \mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\sigma, \qquad (\Delta_{\sigma}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{*}{}_{\rho}\,\mathrm{id})\circ\Delta = (\mathrm{id}_{\sigma}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{*}{}_{\rho}\Delta).$$

Here, $M_{\sigma}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{*}{}_{\rho}M = (M'\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}M')' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L_{\sigma}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\rho}L)$, and $\Delta_{\sigma}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{*}{}_{\rho}\,\mathrm{id}$ and $\mathrm{id}_{\sigma}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{*}{}_{\rho}\Delta$ are suitably defined [17].

2.5.5. **Theorem.** *There exist faithful, normal, non-degenerate $*$-homomorphisms $\Delta_V\colon \pi_{\nu}(A)'' \to \mathcal{L}(H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H)$ and $\hat{\Delta}_V\colon \lambda(\hat{A})'' \to \mathcal{L}(H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H)$ such that for all $a,x,y \in A$, $\omega \in \hat{A}$,*

$$\Delta_V(\pi_{\nu}(a))(\Lambda_{\nu}(x)\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(y)) = \sum \Lambda_{\nu}(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a_{(1)})x)\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(a_{(2)}y), \tag{33}$$

$$\hat{\Delta}_V(\lambda(\omega))(\Lambda_{\nu}(x)\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(y)) = \sum \Lambda_{\nu}(x_{(1)})\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\nu}(y_{(1)}s(\omega_r(x_{(2)}y_{(2)}))), \tag{34}$$

and $(\pi_{\nu}(A)'',\alpha,\beta,\Delta_V)$ and $(\lambda(\hat{A})'',\beta,\widehat{\alpha},\hat{\Delta}_V)$ are Hopf-von Neumann bimodules.

Proof. The pseudo-multiplicative unitary V yields two Hopf-von Neumann bimodules $(A_V'',\alpha,\beta,\Delta_V)$ and $(\hat{A}_V'',\beta,\widehat{\alpha},\hat{\Delta}_V)$, where

$$A_V'' = \{(\omega_{\xi,\xi'} * \mathrm{id})(V) : \xi \in D(H_{\beta},\tilde{\mu}), \xi' \in D(H_{\widehat{\alpha}},\tilde{\mu})\}'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H), \qquad \Delta_V\colon x \mapsto V(x\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\mathrm{id})V^*,$$

$$\hat{A}_V'' = \{(\mathrm{id} * \omega_{\eta,\eta'})(V) : \eta \in D(H_{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}), \eta' \in D(H_{\beta},\tilde{\mu})\}'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H), \qquad \hat{\Delta}_V\colon y \mapsto V^*(\mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}y)V,$$

see [2]. But by Lemma 2.4.2, $A''_V = \pi_\nu(A)''$ and $\hat{A}''_V = \lambda(\hat{A})''$, and for all $a,x,y \in A$, $\omega \in \hat{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_V(\pi_\nu(a))(\Lambda_\nu(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y)) &= \sum V(\pi_\nu(a) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \mathrm{id})(\Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(S(x_{(2)}))y)) \\
&= \sum \Lambda_\nu(a_{(1)}x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_{(2)}x_{(2)}S(x_{(3)}))y) \\
&= \sum_\nu \Lambda_\nu(a_{(1)}x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(a_{(2)})y)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\Delta}_V(\lambda(\omega))(\Lambda_\nu(x) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y)) &= \sum V^*(1 \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \lambda(\omega))(\Lambda_\nu(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)})) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(x_{(2)}y)) \\
&= \sum V^*(\Lambda_\nu(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)})) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(x_{(2)}y_{(1)}s(\omega_r(x_{(3)}y_{(2)})))) \\
&= \sum \Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(S(x_{(2)})x_{(3)}y_{(1)}s(\omega_r(x_{(4)}y_{(2)}))) \\
&= \sum \Lambda_\nu(x_{(1)}) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(y_{(1)}s(\omega_r(x_{(2)}y_{(2)}))). \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

2.5.6. **Remark.** Under the identification (24), we have for all $a,x,y \in A$ and $\zeta \in K$

$$\Delta_V(\pi_\nu(a))(\Lambda_\nu(x) \otimes \zeta \otimes \Lambda_\nu(y)) = \sum \Lambda_\nu(a_{(1)}x) \otimes U_{\partial_{a_{(1)}}}\zeta \otimes \Lambda_\nu(a_{(2)}y).$$

2.6. **The Hopf C^*-bimodules.** The fundamental unitaries V and W are regular C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries in the sense of [25], and therefore yield Hopf C^*-bimodules which are completions of A and $\hat{A}$. To prove this, we again need some preliminaries concerning the relative tensor product in the setting of C^*-algebras; for details, see [23] and [25]. The construction is parallel to the von Neumann-algebraic setting and differs mainly in notation.

As before, let $\mathfrak{b} = (K, [\pi_\mu(B)], [\pi_\mu(B)])$. The relative tensor product $H_{E_\psi} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\psi^\dagger} H$ of the C^*-$\mathfrak{b}$-modules (H, E_ψ) and $(H, E_\psi^\dagger)$ is the separated completion of the algebraic tensor product $E_\psi \otimes K \otimes E_\psi^\dagger$ with respect to the sesquilinear form given by

$$\langle \xi \otimes \zeta \otimes \eta | \xi' \otimes \zeta' \otimes \eta' \rangle = \langle \zeta | (\xi^*\xi')(\eta^*\eta')\zeta' \rangle. \tag{35}$$

It can be regarded as a twofold internal tensor product of Hilbert C^*-modules and identified with certain separated completions $E_\psi \ogreaterthan_\beta H$ and $H_{\hat{\alpha}} \olessthan E_\psi^\dagger$ of the algebraic tensor products $E_\psi \otimes H$ and $H \otimes E_\psi^\dagger$, respectively, such that

$$E_\psi \ogreaterthan_\beta H \cong H_{E_\psi} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\psi^\dagger} H \cong H_{\hat{\alpha}} \olessthan E_\psi^\dagger, \quad \xi \ogreaterthan \eta\zeta \equiv \xi \otimes \zeta \otimes \eta \equiv \xi\zeta \olessthan \eta. \tag{36}$$

Comparing the sesquilinear forms (22) with (35) and using (21), one finds that there exists an isomorphism

$$H_{\hat{\alpha}} \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}_\beta H \cong H_{E_\psi} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\psi^\dagger} H, \quad \Lambda_\nu(x) \otimes \zeta \otimes \Lambda_\nu(y) \equiv \Lambda_\psi(x) \otimes \zeta \otimes \Lambda_\psi^\dagger(y). \tag{37}$$

For each $\xi \in E_\psi$, $\eta \in E_\psi^\dagger$, there exist bounded linear operators

$$|\xi\rangle_1 \colon H \to H_{E_\psi} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\psi^\dagger} H,\ \eta' \mapsto \xi \ogreaterthan \eta', \qquad |\eta\rangle_2 \colon H \to H_{E_\psi} \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\psi^\dagger} H,\ \xi' \mapsto \xi' \olessthan \eta.$$

We denote their adjoints by $\langle\xi|_1$ and $\langle\eta|_2$, respectively, and write $|E_\psi\rangle_1 = \{|\xi\rangle_1 : \xi \in E_\psi\}$, $|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_1 = \{|\eta\rangle_2 : \eta \in E_\psi^\dagger\}$ et cetera. Comparing with (31), we see that under the identification (37), $\lambda^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)} \equiv |\Lambda_\psi(x)\rangle_1$ and $\rho^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}_{\Lambda_\nu(y)} \equiv |\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(y)\rangle_2$ for all $x,y \in A$.

Replacing E_ψ and $E_\psi^\dagger$ by $E_\psi^\dagger$ and $E_\phi^\dagger$, one similarly defines the relative tensor product $H_{E_\psi^\dagger}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\phi^\dagger}H$ with a canonical isomorphism $H_{E_\psi^\dagger}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\phi^\dagger}H \cong H_\beta\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}_\alpha H$, and operators $|\xi\rangle_1, |\eta\rangle_2 \colon H \to H_{E_\psi^\dagger}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\phi^\dagger}H$ for all $\xi \in E_\psi^\dagger$ and $\eta \in E_\phi^\dagger$.

Thus, V can be regarded as a unitary $H_{E_\psi}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\psi^\dagger}H \to H_{E_\psi^\dagger}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\phi^\dagger}H$. To show that it is a C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary in the sense of [25], we only need to prove:

2.6.1. **Proposition.** *The following equalities of subspaces of* $\mathcal{L}(H, H_{E_\psi^\dagger}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}_{E_\phi^\dagger}H)$ *hold:*

$$V[|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_2 E_\psi^\dagger] = [|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_1 E_\psi^\dagger], \qquad V[|E_\psi\rangle_1 E_\phi^\dagger] = [|E_\phi^\dagger\rangle_2 E_\psi],$$

$$V[|E_\psi\rangle_1 E_\psi] = [|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_1 E_\psi], \qquad V[|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_2 E_\phi^\dagger] = [|E_\phi^\dagger\rangle_2 E_\phi^\dagger].$$

The proof uses the following straightforward result:

2.6.2. **Lemma.** *For all* $x,x',y,y' \in A$ *and* $\rho \in \{\alpha,\beta,\widehat{\beta}\}$, $\rho' \in \{\alpha,\widehat{\alpha},\beta\}$,

$$\Lambda_\nu(x)\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(y) \in D((H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}_\beta H)_{\rho\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\mathrm{id}}, \tilde\mu), \qquad R^{\rho\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\mathrm{id},\tilde\mu}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(y)} = \rho^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}_{\Lambda_\nu(y)} R^{\rho,\tilde\mu}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)} = |\Lambda_\psi(y)\rangle_2 R^{\rho,\tilde\mu}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)},$$

$$\Lambda_\nu(x')\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(y') \in D((H_\beta\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}_\alpha H)_{\mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\rho'}, \tilde\mu), \quad R^{\mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\rho',\tilde\mu}_{\Lambda_\nu(x')\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(y')} = \lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(x')} R^{\rho',\tilde\mu}_{\Lambda_\nu(y')} = |\Lambda_\psi(x)\rangle_1 R^{\rho',\tilde\mu}_{\Lambda_\nu(y)}.$$

Proof of Proposition 2.6.1. We only prove the first equation; the others follow similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}
V[|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_2 E_\psi^\dagger] &= [\{VR_\omega^{\beta\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\mathrm{id},\tilde\mu} : \omega \in \Lambda_\nu(A)\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(A)\}] && \text{(Lemma 2.6.2 and (2.2.3))} \\
&= [\{R_{V\omega}^{\mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\beta,\tilde\mu} : \omega \in \Lambda_\nu(A)\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(A)\}] && \text{(Lemma 2.5.1)} \\
&= [\{R_{\omega'}^{\mathrm{id}\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\beta,\tilde\mu} : \omega' \in \Lambda_\nu(A)\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(A)\}] && \text{(Definition of } V\text{)} \\
&= [|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_1 E_\psi^\dagger]. && \text{(Lemma 2.6.2 and 2.2.3)} \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

2.6.3. **Theorem.** *V is a C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary in the sense of* [25].

Proof. This follows from Proposition 2.6.1 and Lemma 2.5.2. ☐

2.6.4. **Proposition.** *V is regular in the sense that* $[\langle E_\psi^\dagger|_1 V|E_\psi^\dagger\rangle_2] = [E_\psi^\dagger(E_\psi^\dagger)^*] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$.

Proof. Let $x,x',y \in A$. Then $\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(y)\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(x)^*\Lambda_\nu(x') = \Lambda_\nu(s(\psi(x'\theta(x^*)))y)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(x)|_1 V|\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(y)\rangle_2\Lambda_\nu(x') &= (\lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)})^* V(\Lambda_\nu(x')\underset{\tilde\mu}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(y)) \\
&= (\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')} * \mathrm{id})(V)\Lambda_\nu(y) \\
&= \sum \Lambda_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(r(\psi(x'_{(1)}\theta(x^*)))x'_{(2)})y) && \text{(Lemma 2.4.2)} \\
&= \sum \Lambda_\nu(s(\psi(x'\theta(x^*)_{(1)}))D^{\frac{1}{2}}(S(\theta(x^*)_{(2)})))y). && \text{(Proposition 1.4.4)}
\end{aligned}$$

Since the maps $\theta, S, D^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and T_1 are bijections, we can conclude

$$[\{\Lambda^{\dagger}_{\psi}(y)\Lambda^{\dagger}_{\psi}(x)^* : x,y \in A\}] = [\{\langle\Lambda^{\dagger}_{\psi}(x)|_1 V|\Lambda^{\dagger}_{\psi}(y)\rangle_2 : x,y \in A\}]. \qquad \square$$

2.6.5. **Remark.** There exist canonical isomorphisms $H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H \cong H_{E^{\dagger}_{\psi}}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{E^{\dagger}_{\phi}}H$ and $H_{\alpha}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\widehat{\beta}}H \cong H_{E^{\dagger}_{\phi}}\underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_{E_{\phi}}H$, and with respect to these identifications, W is a regular C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary. The proof is completely parallel to the proof of the corresponding assertions on V.

Recall from [25] that a *Hopf C^*-bimodule over $\mathfrak{b}$* consists of a C^*-$(\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{b})$-module (L,E,F), a non-degenerate C^*-algebra $C \subseteq \mathcal{L}(L)$ satisfying $\rho_E(\pi_\mu(B)) \subseteq M(C)$ and $\rho_F(\pi_\mu(B)) \subseteq M(C)$, and a non-degenerate $*$-homomorphism $\Delta_C \colon C \to C_E \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_F C$ that is co-associative and compatible with E and F in a suitable sense, where

$$C_E \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{*}{}_F C = \{T \in \mathcal{L}(L_E \underset{\mathfrak{b}}{\otimes}{}_F L) : T|E\rangle_1 + T^*|E\rangle_1 \subseteq [|E\rangle_1 C] \text{ and } T|F\rangle_2 + T^*|F\rangle_2 \subseteq [|F\rangle_2 C]\}$$

is the *fiber product* of C with itself relative to E and F.

2.6.6. **Theorem.** *$\Big((H, E^{\dagger}_{\psi}, E^{\dagger}_{\phi}), [\pi_\nu(A)], \Delta_V|_{[\pi_\nu(A)]}\Big)$ and $\Big((H, E_{\psi}, E^{\dagger}_{\psi}), [\lambda(\hat{A})], \hat{\Delta}_V|_{[\lambda(\hat{A})]}\Big)$ are Hopf C^*-bimodules over $\mathfrak{b}$.*

Proof. By [25], the regular C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitary V gives rise to two Hopf C^*-bimodules $((H, E^{\dagger}_{\psi}, E^{\dagger}_{\phi}), A_V, \Delta_V|_{A_V})$ and $((H, E_{\psi}, E^{\dagger}_{\psi}), \hat{A}_V, \hat{\Delta}_V|_{\hat{A}_V})$, where $A_V = [\langle E^{\dagger}_{\psi}|_1 V|E_{\psi}\rangle_1] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ and $\hat{A}_V = [\langle E^{\dagger}_{\phi}|_2 V|E^{\dagger}_{\psi}\rangle_2] \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$. But by Lemma 2.4.2, $A_V = [\pi_\nu(A)]$ and $\hat{A}_V = [\lambda(\hat{A})]$. $\square$

2.7. **The measured quantum groupoid.** To obtain a measured quantum groupoid, we finally extend ν, ϕ, ψ to normal, semi-finite, faithful weights on the level of von Neumann algebras. We impose the following simplifying assumptions:

(A4) (A, Δ) is proper in the sense that $r(B)s(B) \subseteq A$.

(A5) There exists a net $(u_i)_i$ in B such that $(\pi_\mu(u_i))_i$ is a net of positive elements in the unit ball of $\pi_\mu(B)$ that converges in $M([\pi_\mu(B)])$ strictly to 1 and such that $(\pi_\mu(u_i^2))_i$ is increasing.

Note that a net $(u_i)_i$ as in (A5) exists always if we drop the condition that $(\pi_\mu(u_i^2))_i$ should be increasing.

Let us also note that in the special case where ϕ, ψ and ν arise from a bi-integral h on (A, Δ), the extensions of ϕ, ψ, ν and the invariance of these extensions can be proved quite easily, see Remark 2.7.6 and 2.7.12.

For the extension of ν, we do not need the assumptions (A4) and (A5), but use the modular automorphism θ for ν obtained in Theorem 1.5.8, the theory of Hilbert algebras [21], and results of Kustermans and van Daele [12].

2.7.1. **Lemma.** *$\Lambda_\nu(A) \subseteq H$ is a Hilbert algebra with respect to the $*$-algebra structure inherited from A.*

Proof. The multiplication $\Lambda_\nu(y) \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(xy)$ is bounded for each $x \in A$ by Theorem 2.4.1, and the involution $\Lambda_\nu(x) \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(x^*)$ is pre-closed because

$$\langle\Lambda_\nu(x)|\Lambda_\nu(y^*)\rangle = \nu(x^*y^*) = \nu(y^*\theta(x^*)) = \langle\Lambda_\nu(y)|\Lambda_\nu(\theta(x^*))\rangle \quad \text{for all } x,y \in A. \qquad \square$$

The general theory of Hilbert algebras now yields

- $M = \pi_\nu(A)'' \subseteq \mathcal{L}(H)$ as the associated von Neumann algebra,

- a n.s.f. weight $\tilde{\nu}$ on M such that $\tilde{\nu}(\pi_\nu(a^*a)) = \langle \Lambda_\nu(a) | \Lambda_\nu(a) \rangle = \nu(a^*a)$ for all $a \in A$,
- a left ideal $\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\nu}} := \{x \in M : \tilde{\nu}(x^*x) < \infty\} \subseteq M$ of square-integrable elements,
- a closed map $\Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}} \colon \mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\nu}} \to H$ such that $(H, \Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}}, \mathrm{id}_M)$ is a GNS-representation for $\tilde{\nu}$; this is the closure of the map $\pi_\nu(A) \to H$ given by $\pi_\nu(a) \to \Lambda_\nu(a)$;
- the usual objects $J_{\tilde{\nu}}, \Delta_{\tilde{\nu}}, \sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}, \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu}}, \dots$ of Tomita-Takesaki theory.

The modular automorphism θ is related to the modular automorphism group $\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}$ as follows:

2.7.2. **Proposition.** *$\pi_\nu(A) \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu}}$ and $\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}_{ni}(\pi_\nu(a)) = \pi_\nu(\theta^{-n}(a))$ for all $a \in A$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. Use the arguments in [13, §3], in particular from Lemma 3.16 till Proposition 3.22. ☐

Let $A^\theta := \{a \in A : \theta(a) = a\} \subseteq A$. Note that by Lemma 1.5.7 and assumption (A4), this space is a $*$-subalgebra and contains $r(B)s(B)$.

2.7.3. **Lemma.** i) *$\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}$ acts trivially on $\pi_\nu(A^\theta)''$, in particular on $\alpha(N)$ and $\beta(N)$.*
ii) *$J_{\tilde{\nu}}\alpha(x)^*J_{\tilde{\nu}} = \widehat{\beta}(x)$ and $J_{\tilde{\nu}}\beta(x)^*J_{\tilde{\nu}} = \widehat{\alpha}(x)$ for all $x \in N$.*

Proof. i) The first assertion follows from the fact that $\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}_t(x) = \Delta^{it}_{\tilde{\nu}} x \Delta^{-it}_{\tilde{\nu}}$ and $\Delta^{-1}_{\tilde{\nu}} x \Delta_{\tilde{\nu}} = x$ for each $x \in \pi_{\tilde{\nu}}(A^\theta)$ by Proposition 2.7.2, and the second assertion follows from the fact that $\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}_t$ is normal for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and acts trivially on $\pi_\nu(r(B)s(B))$.
ii) Combine i) and Lemma 2.2.2. ☐

2.7.4. **Proposition.** *There exist unique n.s.f. weights T_L from M to $\alpha(N)$ and T_R from M to $\beta(N)$ such that $\tilde{\mu} \circ \alpha^{-1} \circ T_L = \tilde{\nu} = \tilde{\mu} \circ \beta^{-1} \circ T_R$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 2.7.3 i) and [19, 10.1] or [21, IX Theorem 4.18]. ☐

We thus obtain extensions $\tilde{\phi} := \alpha^{-1} \circ T_L$ and $\tilde{\psi} := \beta^{-1} \circ T_R$ of ϕ and ψ.

2.7.5. **Remark.** The weights $\tilde{\mu}$, $\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}$ restrict to strongly regular (C^*-valued) weights on $\pi_\mu(B)$ and $\mathfrak{A}$, respectively, in the sense of Kustermans [11]. On the level of C^*-algebras, these extensions of μ, ν, ϕ, ψ could have been obtained without using von Neumann algebras by applying the inverse KSGNS-construction of Kustermans [11].

2.7.6. **Remark.** Assume that $\phi = (\mathrm{id} \otimes \mu) \circ h$ and $\psi = (\mu \otimes \mathrm{id}) \circ h$ for a normalized bi-integral h on B. Then the map $\Lambda_\mu(B) \otimes \Lambda_\mu(B) \to \Lambda_\nu(A)$ given by $\Lambda_\mu(b) \otimes \Lambda_\mu(b') \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(r(b)s(b'))$ extends to an isometry $\iota \colon K \otimes K \to H$, and a short calculation shows that $\iota^*\pi_\nu(a)\iota = (\pi_\mu \otimes \pi_\mu)(h(a))$ for all $a \in A$. We therefore get a positive, normal, linear extension $\tilde{h} \colon M \to \bar{N}$, $x \mapsto \iota^* x \iota$, of h, and thereby the desired extensions $\tilde{\phi} = (\mathrm{id} \bar{\otimes} \tilde{\mu}) \circ \tilde{h}$, $\tilde{\psi} = (\tilde{\mu} \bar{\otimes} \tilde{\mu}) \circ \tilde{h}$ and $\tilde{\nu} = (\tilde{\mu} \bar{\otimes} \tilde{\mu}) \circ \tilde{h}$.

As usual, let $\mathfrak{N}_{T_L} := \{x \in M : T_L(x^*x) \in N\}$ and similarly define $\mathfrak{N}_{T_R}$.

2.7.7. **Theorem.** *T_L and T_R are left- and right-invariant with respect to Δ_V in the sense that*

$$\tilde{\phi}((\lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_\xi)^* \Delta_V(x^*x) \lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_\xi) = (R^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}_\xi)^* T_L(x^*x) R^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}_\xi \quad \textit{for all } x \in \mathfrak{N}_{T_L}, \xi \in D(H_\beta, \tilde{\mu}),$$
$$\tilde{\psi}((\rho^{\beta,\alpha}_\eta)^* \Delta_V(x^*x) \rho^{\beta,\alpha}_\eta) = (R^{\alpha,\tilde{\mu}}_\eta)^* T_R(x^*x) R^{\alpha,\tilde{\mu}}_\eta \quad \textit{for all } x \in \mathfrak{N}_{T_R}, \eta \in D(H_\alpha, \tilde{\mu}).$$

2.7.8. **Corollary.** *$(N, \tilde{\mu}, M, \alpha, \beta, \Delta_V, T_L, T_R, \tilde{\nu})$ is an adapted measured quantum groupoid in the sense of* [14].

To prove Theorem 2.7.7, we construct increasing approximations of the weights $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\phi}, \tilde{\psi}$ by bounded positive maps, using an approximate unit $(u_i)_i$ in B with the properties assumed in (A5). Let $u_{i,j} := r(u_i)s(u_j) \in A$, and define for all i, j bounded, normal, positive, linear maps

$$\mu_i \colon N \to \mathbb{C},\ x \mapsto \langle \Lambda_\mu(u_i) | x \Lambda_\mu(u_i) \rangle, \qquad \nu_{i,j} \colon M \to \mathbb{C},\ x \mapsto \langle \Lambda_\nu(u_{i,j}) | x \Lambda_\nu(u_{i,j}) \rangle,$$
$$\phi_{i,j} \colon M \to N,\ x \mapsto \Lambda_\phi(u_{i,j})^* x \Lambda_\phi(u_{i,j}), \qquad \psi_{i,j} \colon M \to N,\ x \mapsto \Lambda_\psi(u_{i,j})^* x \Lambda_\psi(u_{i,j}).$$

Given a net $(\lambda_\kappa)_\kappa$ of real numbers, we write $(\lambda_\kappa)_\kappa \nearrow \lambda$ if it is increasing and converges to λ. Likewise, given a von Neumann algebra C with a net $(\omega_\kappa)_\kappa$ in C_*^+ and a n.s.f. weight ω, we write $(\omega_\kappa)_\kappa \nearrow \omega$ if $\omega_\kappa(x^*x) \nearrow \omega(x^*x)$ for all $x \in C$.

2.7.9. **Proposition.** *The following relations hold:*

$$(\mu_i)_i \nearrow \tilde{\mu}, \quad (\nu_{i,j})_{i,j} \nearrow \tilde{\nu} \quad \textit{and} \quad (\upsilon \circ \phi_{i,j})_{i,j} \nearrow \upsilon \circ \tilde{\phi}, \quad (\upsilon \circ \psi_{i,j})_{i,j} \nearrow \upsilon \circ \tilde{\psi} \quad \textit{for all } \upsilon \in N_*^+.$$

The proof requires some preparations. We shall focus on the weights $\tilde{\nu}$ and $\tilde{\phi}$; the case $\tilde{\mu}$ is quite simple and the case $\tilde{\psi}$ is similar to the case $\tilde{\phi}$. Recall that an element $\xi \in H$ is *right-bounded* with respect to the Hilbert algebra $\Lambda_\nu(A)$ if there exists an operator $R_\xi \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ such that $\pi_\nu(a)\xi = R_\xi \Lambda_\nu(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Note that then $R_\xi \in \mathfrak{A}'$. Let us call $\xi \in H$ *right-contractive* if ξ is right-bounded and $\|R_\xi\| \leq 1$. Then $\tilde{\nu}$ is given by

$$\tilde{\nu}(x^*x) = \sup\left\{ \|x\xi\|^2 \,\middle|\, \xi \in H \text{ is right-contractive} \right\} \quad \text{for all } x \in M. \tag{38}$$

2.7.10. **Lemma.** i) *If $x \in A^\theta$, then $\Lambda_\nu(x) \in H$ is right-bounded, $R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)} = J_\nu \pi_\nu(x)^* J_\nu$ and $\|R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}\| = \|\pi_\nu(x)\|$.*

ii) *If $x \in A^\theta \cap r(B)'$, then $\pi_\nu(a)\Lambda_\phi(x) = R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}\Lambda_\phi(a)$ for all $a \in A$.*

iii) *If $a \in A$ and $\xi \in K$ is right-bounded with respect to $\Lambda_\mu(B)$, then $\Lambda_\phi(a)\xi = \widehat{\beta}(R_\xi)\Lambda_\nu(a)$.*

Proof. i) For all $x \in A^\theta, a \in A$, we have $\pi_\nu(a)\Lambda_\nu(x) = \Lambda_\nu(ax) = J_\nu \pi_\nu(x)^* J_\nu \Lambda_\nu(a)$.

ii) For all $x \in A^\theta \cap r(B)', a \in A, b \in B$,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_\nu(a)\Lambda_\phi(x)\Lambda_\mu(b) = \Lambda_\nu(axr(b)) &= \Lambda_\nu(ar(b)x) \\ &= \pi_\nu(ar(b))\Lambda_\nu(x) = R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}\Lambda_\nu(ar(b)) = R_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}\Lambda_\phi(a)\Lambda_\mu(b). \end{aligned}$$

iii) If $a \in A$ and $\xi = \Lambda_\mu(b)$ for some $b \in B$, then $R_\xi = \pi_\mu(b)$ and $\Lambda_\phi(a)\xi = \Lambda_\nu(ar(b)) = \widehat{\beta}(\pi_\mu(b))\Lambda_\nu(a)$. Now, the assertion follows for all right-bounded ξ because $\Lambda_\mu(B)$ is a core for $\Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}}$ and the right-bounded elements coincide with $\Lambda_{\tilde{\mu}}(\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}})$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.7.9. We only prove the assertions concerning $(\nu_{i,j})_{i,j}$ and $(\phi_{i,j})_{i,j}$; the others follow similarly.

Let $\xi_{i,j} := \Lambda_\nu(u_{i,j})$ and $R_{i,j} := R_{\xi_{i,j}} = J_\nu \pi_\nu(u_{i,j}) J_\nu$ for all i, j. By Lemma 2.7.10, each $\xi_{i,j}$ is right-contractive and hence $\nu_{i,j}(x^*x) = \|x\Lambda_\nu(u_{i,j})\|^2 \leq \tilde{\nu}(x^*x)$ for all i, j and all $x \in M$. The net $(\nu_{i,j})_{i,j}$ in M_*^+ is increasing because (i) $(R_{i,j}^* R_{i,j})_{i,j}$ is increasing by assumption on $(u_i)_i$, (ii) $\nu_{i,j}(\pi_\nu(a^*a)) = \|R_{\xi_{i,j}}\Lambda_\nu(a)\|^2$ for all $a \in A$, and (iii) $\pi_\nu(A) \subseteq M$ is weakly dense. For each right-contractive $\xi \in H$ and each $x \in M$,

$$\|x\xi\|^2 = \lim_{i,j} \|x\pi_\nu(u_{i,j})\xi\|^2 = \lim_{i,j} \|xR_\xi \Lambda_\nu(u_{i,j})\|^2 \leq \lim_{i,j} \|x\Lambda_\nu(u_{i,j})\|^2 = \lim_{i,j} \nu_{i,j}(x^*x)$$

because $R_\xi \in M'$ and $R_\xi^* R_\xi \leq 1$. Therefore, $\tilde{\nu}(x^*x) \leq \lim_{i,j} \nu_{i,j}(x^*x)$.

A similar argument as above and Lemma 2.7.10 ii) show that for each $\upsilon \in N_*^+$, the net $(\upsilon \circ \phi_{i,j})_{i,j}$ is increasing. Taking pointwise limits, we obtain a normal semi-finite weight ω from M to N such that for each $y \in M$, the element $\omega(y^*y)$ in the extended positive part $\hat{N}_+$ is defined by $\upsilon(\omega(y)) = \sup_{i,j} \upsilon(\phi_{i,j}(y^*y))$ for all $\upsilon \in N_*^+$. Then for all $y \in M$,

$$\tilde{\mu}(\omega(y^*y)) \underset{i,j,k}{\nwarrow} \|y\Lambda_\phi(u_{i,j})\Lambda_\mu(u_k)\|^2 = \|y\widehat{\beta}(\pi_\mu(u_k))\xi_{i,j}\|^2 \xrightarrow{k\to\infty} \|y\xi_{i,j}\|^2 = \nu_{i,j}(y^*y) \underset{i,j}{\nearrow} \tilde{\nu}(y^*y)$$

and hence $\tilde{\mu} \circ \omega = \tilde{\nu}$. By [21, Theorem 4.18], $\omega = \tilde{\phi}$. ☐

The next step towards the proof of Theorem 2.7.7 is the following result:

2.7.11. **Lemma.** *$V\lambda^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}_{\Lambda_\nu(r(b)s(b'))}\alpha(\pi_\mu(b'')) = \lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(r(b)s(b''))}\beta(\pi_\mu(b'))$ for all $b, b', b'' \in B$.*

Proof. Applying both sides to $\Lambda_\nu(a)$, where $a \in A$ is arbitrary, we obtain $V(\Lambda_\nu(r(b)s(b')) \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(r(b'')a))$ and $\Lambda_\nu(r(b)s(b'')) \underset{B}{\otimes} \Lambda_\nu(s(b')a)$, respectively, which coincide. ☐

Proof of Theorem 2.7.7. To prove the assertion concerning $\tilde{\psi}$ and T_R, we show that

$$\langle\zeta|\tilde{\psi}((\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})^*\Delta_V(x^*x)\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})\zeta\rangle = \|\beta(\tilde{\psi}(x^*x))^{\frac{1}{2}}R_\eta^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}\zeta\|^2 \tag{39}$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}_{T_R}$, $\eta \in D(H_\alpha, \tilde{\mu})$ and $\zeta \in K$. Given such x, η, ζ, let

$$c_{i,j,k} := \langle\pi_\mu(u_k)\zeta|\psi_{i,j}((\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})^*\Delta_V(x^*x)\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})\pi_\mu(u_k)\zeta\rangle \quad \text{for all } i, j, k.$$

Then by Proposition 2.7.9,

$$c_{i,j,k} \xrightarrow{k\to\infty} \langle\zeta|\psi_{i,j}((\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})^*\Delta_V(x^*x)\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})\zeta\rangle \underset{i,j}{\nearrow} \langle\zeta|\tilde{\psi}((\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})^*\Delta_V(x^*x)\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha})\zeta\rangle.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{i,j,k} &= \|(x \underset{\mu}{\otimes} 1)V^*\rho_\eta^{\beta,\alpha}\Lambda_\psi^\dagger(u_{i,j})\pi_\mu(u_k)\zeta\|^2 && \text{(Definition of } \Delta_V \text{ and } \psi_{i,j}\text{)}\\
&= \|(x \underset{\mu}{\otimes} 1)V^*\lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(u_{i,j})}\beta(\pi_\mu(u_k))R_\eta^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}\zeta\|^2 && \text{(Definition of } H_\beta \underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_\alpha H\text{)}\\
&= \|(x \underset{\mu}{\otimes} 1)\lambda^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}_{\Lambda_\nu(u_{i,k})}\alpha(\pi_\mu(u_j))R_\eta^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}\zeta\|^2 && \text{(Lemma 2.7.11)}\\
&= \|\beta(\psi_{i,k}(x^*x))^{\frac{1}{2}}\alpha(\pi_\mu(u_j))R_\eta^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}\zeta\|^2 \underset{i,j,k}{\nearrow} \|\beta(\tilde{\psi}(x^*x))^{\frac{1}{2}}R_\eta^{\beta,\tilde{\mu}}\zeta\|^2. && \text{(Proposition 2.7.9)}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (39) follows. The assertion concerning $\tilde{\psi}$ and T_R can be proven similarly, where V has to be replaced by the unitary W. ☐

2.7.12. **Remark.** Assume that ψ comes from a normalized bi-integral h as in Lemma 1.4.3. Then for each $b \in B$, the map $\Lambda_\mu(B) \to \Lambda_\nu(A)$ given by $\Lambda_\mu(c) \mapsto \Lambda_\nu(r(b)s(c))$ is bounded with norm less than or equal to $\mu(b^*b)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and therefore extends to an operator $\Lambda_\psi(r(b)) \in \mathcal{L}(K,H)$. One can then approximate $\tilde{\psi}$ monotonously by the maps $\psi_i \colon M \to N$, $x \mapsto \Lambda_\psi(r(u_i))^*x\Lambda_\psi(r(u_i))$, and a similar calculation as in Lemma 2.7.11 shows that each ψ_i is itself right-invariant.

Associated to the measured quantum groupoid $(N,\tilde{\mu},M,\alpha,\beta,\Delta_V,T_L,T_R,\tilde{\nu})$ are two fundamental unitaries $U'_H\colon H_{\widehat{\alpha}}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\beta}H \to H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H$ and $U_H\colon H_{\alpha}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\widehat{\beta}}H \to H_{\beta}\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}{}_{\alpha}H$, characterized by

$$(\lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{w})^{*}U_H(v\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}}(a)) = \Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}}((\omega_{w,v}*\mathrm{id})(\Delta_V)(a)) \qquad \text{for all } v,w\in D(H_{\beta},\tilde{\mu}), a\in\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\nu}}\cap\mathfrak{N}_{T_L},$$

$$(\rho^{\beta,\alpha}_{w'})^{*}U'_H(\Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}}(a')\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}v') = \Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}}((\mathrm{id}*\omega_{w',v'})(\Delta_V)(a')), \quad \text{for all } v',w'\in D(H_{\alpha},\tilde{\mu}), a'\in\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\nu}}\cap\mathfrak{N}_{T_R};$$

see [14, Proposition 3.17].

2.7.13. **Proposition.** *$V = U'_H$ and $W = U^{*}_H$.*

Proof. Let $x,y,y',z \in A$. Using (28), (33), Equation (21) and Lemma 2.2.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathrm{id}*\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(y),\Lambda_\nu(y')})(V)\Lambda_\nu(x) &= \sum(\rho^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(y)})^{*}(\Lambda_\nu(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)}))\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(x_{(2)}y'))\\
&= \sum\Lambda_\nu(s(\psi(x_{(2)}y'\theta(y^{*})))\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)})),\\
(\mathrm{id}*\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(y'),\Lambda_\nu(y)})(\Delta_V(\pi_\nu(x)))\Lambda_\nu(z) &= \sum(\rho^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(y)})^{*}(\Lambda_\nu(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)})z)\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(x_{(2)}y'))\\
&= \sum\pi_\nu(s(\psi(x_{(2)}y'\theta(y^{*})))\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(1)}))\Lambda_\nu(z)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $(\mathrm{id}*\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(y),\Lambda_\nu(y')})(V)\Lambda_\nu(x) = \Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}}\left((\mathrm{id}*\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(y'),\Lambda_\nu(y)})(\Delta_V(\pi_\nu(x)))\right)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')}*\mathrm{id})(W^{*})\Lambda_\nu(y) &= \sum(\lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)})^{*}(\Lambda_\nu(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(1)})x')\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(y_{(2)}))\\
&= \sum\Lambda_\nu(r(\phi(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(1)})x'\theta(x^{*})))y_{(2)}),\\
(\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')}*\mathrm{id})(\Delta_V(y))\Lambda_\nu(z) &= \sum(\lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)})^{*}(\Lambda_\nu(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(1)})x')\underset{\tilde{\mu}}{\otimes}\Lambda_\nu(y_{(2)}z))\\
&= \sum\pi_\nu(r(\phi(\overline{D}^{\frac{1}{2}}(y_{(1)})x'\theta(x^{*}))))\Lambda_\nu(y_{(2)}z),
\end{aligned}$$

and hence $(\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')}*\mathrm{id})(W^{*})\Lambda_\nu(y) = \Lambda_{\tilde{\nu}}((\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')}*\mathrm{id})(\Delta_V(y)))$. ☐

Finally, the adapted measured quantum groupoid $(N,\tilde{\mu},M,\alpha,\beta,\Delta_V,T_L,T_R,\tilde{\nu})$ has an antipode $\tilde{S}$ which is characterized by the following properties:

i) $\mathrm{span}\{(\omega_{v,w}*\mathrm{id})(V) : w,v\in\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_R}\}$ is a core for $\tilde{S}$,
ii) $\tilde{S}((\omega_{w,v}*\mathrm{id})(V)) = (\omega_{w,v}*\mathrm{id})(V^{*})$ for all $w,v\in\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_R}$,

where $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_R}$ is the set of all $x\in M$ that are analytic with respect to $\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}$ and satisfy $\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}_z\in\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\nu}}\cap\mathfrak{N}^{*}_{\tilde{\nu}}\cap\mathfrak{N}_{T_R}\cap\mathfrak{N}^{*}_{T_R}$ for all $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Likewise, one defines $\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_L}$.

2.7.14. **Lemma.** $\pi_\nu(A)\subseteq\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_R}\cap\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_L}$.

Proof. Recall that $\pi_\nu(A)\subseteq\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu}}$ by Proposition 2.7.2. Using Lemma 2.7.3 i), we find

$$\sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}_z(\pi_\nu(A)) = \sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}_z(\pi_\nu(As(B))) = \sigma^{\tilde{\nu}}_z(\pi_\nu(A))\beta(\pi_\mu(B))\subseteq\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\nu}}\beta(\mathfrak{N}_{\tilde{\mu}})\subseteq\mathfrak{N}_{T_R}$$

for all $z\in\mathbb{C}$. Consequently, $\pi_\nu(A)\subseteq\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_R}$. A similar argument shows that $\pi_\nu(A)\subseteq\mathcal{T}_{\tilde{\nu},T_L}$. ☐

2.7.15. **Proposition.** $\pi_\nu(A)\subseteq\mathrm{Dom}(\tilde{S})$ *and* $\tilde{S}(\pi_\nu(a)) = \pi_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}SD^{\frac{1}{2}}(a))$ *for all* $a\in A$.

Proof. Let $x, x' \in A$ and $a = \sum D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x'_{(2)} r(\psi(x^* x'_{(1)})))$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')} * \mathrm{id})(V) &= \pi_\nu(a), && \text{(Lemma 2.4.2)} \\
(\omega_{\Lambda_\nu(x),\Lambda_\nu(x')} * \mathrm{id})(V^*) &= \Big((\lambda^{\beta,\alpha}_{\Lambda_\nu(x')})^* V \lambda^{\widehat{\alpha},\beta}_{\Lambda_\nu(x)}\Big)^* \\
&= \sum \pi_\nu(D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x_{(2)} r(\psi(x'^* x_{(1)}))))^* && \text{(Lemma 2.4.2)} \\
&= \sum \pi_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(r(\psi(x^*_{(1)} x')) x^*_{(2)})) \\
&= \sum \pi_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}}(S(x'_{(2)} r(\psi(x^* x'_{(1)}))))) && \text{(Proposition 1.4.4)} \\
&= \pi_\nu(D^{\frac{1}{2}} S D^{\frac{1}{2}}(a)). && \square
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

[1] G. Böhm. Hopf algebroids. In *Handbook of algebra. Vol. 6*, volume 6 of *Handb. Algebr.*, pages 173–235. Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2009.

[2] M. Enock. Quantum groupoids and pseudo-multiplicative unitaries. In *Locally compact quantum groups and groupoids (Strasbourg, 2002)*, volume 2 of *IRMA Lect. Math. Theor. Phys.*, pages 17–47. de Gruyter, Berlin, 2003.

[3] M. Enock. Measured quantum groupoids in action. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (114):ii+150 pp. (2009), 2008.

[4] M. Enock and R. Nest. Irreducible inclusions of factors, multiplicative unitaries, and Kac algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 137(2):466–543, 1996.

[5] M. Enock and J.-M. Vallin. Inclusions of von Neumann algebras, and quantum groupoids. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 172(2):249–300, 2000.

[6] P. Etingof and F. Latour. *The dynamical Yang-Baxter equation, representation theory, and quantum integrable systems*, volume 29 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2005.

[7] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko. Solutions of the quantum dynamical Yang-Baxter equation and dynamical quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 196(3):591–640, 1998.

[8] P. Etingof and A. Varchenko. Exchange dynamical quantum groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 205(1):19–52, 1999.

[9] L. Kadison and K. Szlachányi. Bialgebroid actions on depth two extensions and duality. *Adv. Math.*, 179(1):75–121, 2003.

[10] E. Koelink and H. Rosengren. Harmonic analysis on the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ dynamical quantum group. *Acta Appl. Math.*, 69(2):163–220, 2001.

[11] J. Kustermans. Regular C^*-valued weights. *J. Operator Theory*, 44(1):151–205, 2000.

[12] J. Kustermans and A. van Daele. C^*-algebraic quantum groups arising from algebraic quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 8(8):1067–1139, 1997.

[13] J. Kustermans and A. van Daele. C^*-algebraic quantum groups arising from algebraic quantum groups. *Internat. J. Math.*, 8(8):1067–1139, 1997.

[14] F. Lesieur. Measured quantum groupoids. *Mém. Soc. Math. Fr. (N.S.)*, (109):iv+158 pp. (2008), 2007.

[15] D. Nikshych and L. Vainerman. Algebraic versions of a finite-dimensional quantum groupoid. In *Hopf algebras and quantum groups (Brussels, 1998)*, volume 209 of *Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math.*, pages 189–220. Dekker, New York, 2000.

[16] D. Nikshych and L. Vainerman. A characterization of depth 2 subfactors of II_1 factors. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 171(2):278–307, 2000.

[17] J.-L. Sauvageot. Produits tensoriels de Z-modules et applications. In *Operator algebras and their connections with topology and ergodic theory (Buşteni, 1983)*, volume 1132 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 468–485. Springer, Berlin, 1985.

[18] P. Schauenburg. Weak Hopf algebras and quantum groupoids. In *Noncommutative geometry and quantum groups (Warsaw, 2001)*, volume 61 of *Banach Center Publ.*, pages 171–188. Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw, 2003.

[19] Ş. Strătilă. *Modular theory in operator algebras*. Editura Academiei Republicii Socialiste România, Bucharest, 1981. Translated from the Romanian by the author.

[20] K. Szlachányi. Finite quantum groupoids and inclusions of finite type. In *Mathematical physics in mathematics and physics (Siena, 2000)*, volume 30 of *Fields Inst. Commun.*, pages 393–407. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.

[21] M. Takesaki. *Theory of operator algebras. II*, volume 125 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[22] T. Timmermann. Free dynamical quantum groups and the dynamical quantum group $\mathrm{SU}_q^{\mathrm{d}}(2)$. arXiv:1205.2578.

[23] T. Timmermann. The relative tensor product and a minimal fiber product in the setting of C^*-algebras. to appear in J. Operator Theory, arXiv:0907.4846v2.

[24] T. Timmermann. *An invitation to quantum groups and duality*. EMS Textbooks in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2008.

[25] T. Timmermann. C^*-pseudo-multiplicative unitaries, Hopf C^*-bimodules and their Fourier algebras. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 11:189–229, 2011.

[26] J.-M. Vallin. Bimodules de Hopf et poids opératoriels de Haar. *J. Operator Theory*, 35(1):39–65, 1996.

[27] J.-M. Vallin. Unitaire pseudo-multiplicatif associé à un groupoïde. Applications à la moyennabilité. *J. Operator Theory*, 44(2):347–368, 2000.

[28] J.-M. Vallin. Groupoïdes quantiques finis. *J. Algebra*, 239(1):215–261, 2001.

[29] J.-M. Vallin. Measured quantum groupoids associated with matched pairs of locally compact groupoids, 2009.

[30] A. van Daele. Multiplier Hopf algebras. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 342(2):917–932, 1994.

[31] A. van Daele. An algebraic framework for group duality. *Adv. Math.*, 140(2):323–366, 1998.

[32] A. Van Daele. Tools for working with multiplier Hopf algebras. *Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. C Theme Issues*, 33(2):505–527, 2008.

[33] S. L. Woronowicz. Compact quantum groups. In *Symétries quantiques (Les Houches, 1995)*, pages 845–884. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1998.

UNIVERSITY OF MUENSTER, EINSTEINSTR. 62, 48149 MUENSTER, GERMANY, TIMMERMT@MATH.UNI-MUENSTER.DE